arXiv:0902.1198v1 [math.DG] 6 Feb 2009

GENERALIZED ORBIFOLD EULER CHARACTERISTICS FOR GENERAL ORBIFOLDS AND WREATH PRODUCTS

CARLA FARSI AND CHRISTOPHER SEATON

Abstract. We introduce the Γ-Euler-Satake characteristics of a general orbifold Q presented by an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, generalizing to orbifolds that are not necessarily global quotients the generalized orbifold Euler characteristics of Bryan-Fulman and Tamanoi. Each of these Euler characteristics is defined as the Euler-Satake characteristic of the space of Γ-sectors of the orbifold where Γ is a finitely generated discrete group. We study the behavior of these characteristics under product operations applied to the group Γ as well as the orbifold and establish their relationships to existing Euler characteristics for orbifolds. As applications, we generalize formulas of Tamanoi, Wang, and Zhou for the Euler characteristics and Hodge numbers of wreath symmetric products of global quotient orbifolds to the case of quotients by compact, connected Lie groups acting almost freely.

1. Introduction

When Satake first introduced orbifolds under the name *V-manifolds*, one of the first invariants defined was the Euler-Satake characteristic, then called the *Euler characteristic as a V-manifold*; see [24]. Since that time, a number of Euler characteristics have been introduced for orbifolds. Most notably, the "stringy" orbifold Euler characteristic was introduced in [9] for global quotients and later generalized to general orbifolds in [22]; see also [3] and [13]. These Euler characteristics were generalized for global quotients twice—first by Bryan and Fulman in [6], where they appeared as the first two elements of a sequence of Euler characteristics, and independently by Tamanoi in [27] and [28], where an Euler characteristic was defined for each group Γ, the sequence of Bryan and Fulman corresponding to $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^m$.

Here, we extend these definitions, introducing the Γ-*Euler-Satake characteristics* for a general orbifold Q presented by an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$. Given a finitely generated discrete group Γ, we define the Γ-Euler-Satake characteristic as the Euler-Satake characteristic of the Γ-*sectors of* Q, an orbifold generalizing the inertia orbifold and multi-sectors (see [11] and [12]).

Our main results are as follows. Generalizing a result of [27], Theorem 3.1 shows that recursively constructing Γ-sectors corresponds to the direct product operation for groups. This allows us to relate the Γ-Euler-Satake characteristics to the usual (topological) Euler characteristic of the underlying space of the orbifold in question. We then demonstrate Theorem 5.5, establishing a formula for the Γ-Euler-Satake characteristic of a wreath symmetric product by a compact, connected Lie group

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22A22, 55S15; Secondary 58E40, 55N91.

Key words and phrases. Orbifold, wreath product, Euler-Satake characteristic, orbifold Euler characteristic, orbifold Hodge number.

The second author was partially supported by a Rhodes College Faculty Development Endowment Grant.

that generalizes results of [33]. Theorem 6.4 generalizes a formula for the (shifted) Hodge numbers of wreath symmetric products from the case of finite group actions to the case of compact, complex, connected Lie group actions.

In Section 2, we recall the relevant preliminary material and fix notation. In particular, in Subsection 2.3, we verify the multiplicativity and other basic properties of the Euler-Satake characteristic, and in Subsections 2.4 and 2.5, we discuss the structures of wreath products of compact, connected Lie groups and the associated wreath symmetric product orbifolds. Section 3 establishes the behavior the Γ-sectors of a general orbifold under product operations on both the orbifold and the group Γ. In Section 4, we define the Γ-Euler-Satake characteristics and demonstrate their connections with other Euler characteristics for orbifolds. In Section 5, we turn our attention to wreath symmetric products by compact, connected Lie groups and prove Theorem 5.5. Section 6 turns to the case of complex orbifolds given by quotients by compact, connected groups, proving Theorem 6.4. Note that throughout, we assume that G acts effectively for simplicity; using Equation 2.2 below, our results can be applied the noneffective case.

This paper presents the first of our investigation of these generalized Euler characteristics for orbifolds. In future work, we will continue these investigations, expecting to extend results in [28], [29], [33], and [34] to more general classes of orbifolds and further explore group actions on orbifolds.

The first author would like to thank the MSRI for its hospitality during the preparation of this manuscript.

2. Preliminaries and Background Material

In this section, we briefly recall the definitions we will need. For background on orbifolds, the reader is referred to [1]; see also [18], [17], [7] or [23]. For details on the definition of the Γ-sectors of an orbifold, see [11] and [12].

2.1. **Orbifolds and Γ-Sectors.**

An *orbifold structure* on a paracompact Hausdorff space $\mathbb{X}_Q$ is an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, i.e. a proper étale Lie groupoid, and a homeomorphism $f : |\mathcal{G}| \to \mathbb{X}_Q$ between the orbit space $|\mathcal{G}|$ of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathbb{X}_Q$. We say that $(\mathcal{G}, f)$ is a *presentation* of the orbifold structure. Two presentations $(\mathcal{G}, f)$ and $(\mathcal{G}', f')$ are *equivalent* if $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ are Morita equivalent and the homeomorphisms $g : |\mathcal{G}| \to |\mathcal{G}'|$ induced by the Morita equivalence satisfies $f = f' \circ g$. An *orbifold* Q is a paracompact Hausdorff space $\mathbb{X}_Q$, called the *underlying space of* Q, and an equivalence class of orbifold structures on $\mathbb{X}_Q$. Given a presentation $(\mathcal{G}, f)$, of the orbifold Q, we will often identify $\mathbb{X}_Q$ with $|\mathcal{G}|$ and avoid explicit reference to f. We say that orbifolds Q_1 and Q_2 are *orbifold-diffeomorphic* or simply *diffeomorphic* if the groupoids representing them are Morita equivalent, so that in particular their underlying spaces are homeomorphic.

Let Q be an orbifold. Throughout, we use the notation that $\mathcal{G}$ is an orbifold groupoid presenting Q with space of objects G_0 and space of arrows G_1. When considering wreath symmetric products, we will restrict our attention to orbifolds Q presented by $M \rtimes G$ where G is a compact, connected Lie group acting smoothly, effectively, and locally freely (i.e. properly with discrete stabilizers) on the smooth manifold M so that $M \rtimes G$ is Morita equivalent to an orbifold groupoid. An orbifold presented in such a way is called a *quotient orbifold*, and a *global quotient orbifold* if G is finite. Note that $M \rtimes G$ is not étale unless G is finite. For clarity, we will represent general orbifolds in terms of left groupoid actions and quotient orbifolds in terms of right group actions.

For every $x \in G_0$, there is an open neighborhood $V_x \subseteq G_0$ of x diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$ with x corresponding to the origin such that the isotropy group G_x acts linearly on V_x and the restriction $\mathcal{G}|_{V_x}$ is isomorphic to $G_x \ltimes V_x$. We let $\pi_x : V_x \to |G_x \ltimes V_x| \subseteq |\mathcal{G}|$ denote the quotient map, and refer to the triple $\{V_x, G_x, \pi_x\}$ as a *linear orbifold chart* for Q at x.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be orbifold groupoids. By $\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{H}$, we mean the groupoid with objects $G_0 \times H_0$ and arrows $G_1 \times H_1$ (see [18, page 123]). As the products of proper maps is proper and the product of local diffeomorphism is a local diffeomorphism, $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}$ is an orbifold groupoid. Linear charts for this orbifold are given by products of linear charts for $|\mathcal{G}|$ and $|\mathcal{H}|$.

Given a finitely generated discrete group Γ, the space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma} = \mathrm{HOM}(\Gamma, \mathcal{G}) = \bigcup_{x\in G_0} \mathrm{HOM}(\Gamma, G_x)$ of groupoid homomorphisms from Γ into $\mathcal{G}$ naturally inherits the structure of a smooth $\mathcal{G}$-manifold. We let $\mathcal{G}^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ denote the corresponding translation groupoid, an orbifold groupoid, and $\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma}$ the corresponding orbifold. We refer to $\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma}$ as the *orbifold of* Γ*-sectors of* Q. If $\phi_x : \Gamma \to G_x$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$, we let $\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}$ denote connected component of $\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma}$ containing the orbit of ϕ_x and refer to $\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}$ as the Γ*-sector associated to* ϕ_x.

Given a homomorphism $\phi_x : \Gamma \to G_x$ and a linear chart $\{V_x, G_x, \pi_x\}$ for Q near x, there is a diffeomorphism $\kappa_{\phi_x} : V_x^{\langle\phi_x\rangle} \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ onto a neighborhood of ϕ_x, where $V_x^{\langle\phi_x\rangle}$ denotes the subspace of V_x fixed by the image of ϕ_x. Then up to identification via κ_{ϕ_x}, $\{V_x^{\langle\phi_x\rangle}, C_{G_x}(\phi_x), \pi_x^{\phi_x}\}$ forms a linear chart for the groupoid $\mathcal{G}^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ at ϕ_x where $C_{G_x}(\phi_x)$ is the centralizer of $\operatorname{Im}\phi_x$ in G_x and $\pi_x^{\phi_x}$ is the quotient map.

In the case that Q is presented by $M \rtimes G$ where M is a smooth manifold and G is a compact Lie group acting smoothly and locally freely, the space of Γ-sectors admits the presentation

$$(M; G)_{\Gamma} := \coprod_{(\phi)\in t_{M;G}^{\Gamma}} M^{\langle\phi\rangle} \rtimes C_G(\phi)$$

where $t_{M;G}$ denotes the set of conjugacy classes of homomorphisms $\phi \in \mathrm{HOM}(\Gamma, G)$ such that $M^{\langle\phi\rangle} \neq \emptyset$. Note that $M^{\langle\phi\rangle} \rtimes C_G(\phi)$ need not be a connected orbifold; see [12, Definition 2.1, Theorem 3.6] for details.

2.2. **Cohomology and K-Theory.**

Unless otherwise specified, all cohomology is with complex coefficients.

If Q is an orbifold presented by the orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, we let $H^*(\mathcal{G})$ or $H^*(Q)$ denote the (complex) de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{G}$-invariant differential forms on G_0. Note that $H^*(\mathcal{G})$ is isomorphic to the usual singular cohomology of the underlying space of Q as well as the cohomology $H_{orb}^*(Q)$ defined in terms of groupoid classifying spaces; see [1, Section 2.1]. Hence, we need not distinguish between $H^*(\mathcal{G})$ and $H^*(Q)$.

If Γ is a finitely generated discrete group, then we let $H_{\Gamma}^*(Q) = H^*\left(\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma}\right)$ denote the de Rham cohomology of the orbifold of Γ-sectors $\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma}$, called the Γ-cohomology.

In particular, it follows from [12, Corollary 3.8] that the $\mathbb{Z}$-sectors of Q are orbifold-diffeomorphic to the inertia orbifold of Q. Hence, $H^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(Q) = H^*\left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$ is the *delocalized cohomology of* Q. As additive groups, the delocalized cohomology corresponds to the Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology; see [1] or [8].

If Q is a quotient orbifold, then the orbifold K-theory of Q can be defined in a variety of ways; see [4], [10], [31], or [2]. When complexified, each of these definitions is isomorphic, and is isomorphic via the Chern character to the delocalized cohomology of Q.

In the case that Q is a complex orbifold, i.e. an orbifold presented by a holomorphic orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ where G_0 is a complex manifold and the elements of G_1 are locally automorphisms, we define the Dolbeault cohomology $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{G}) = H^{*,*}(Q)$ of Q in terms of G_1-invariant forms on G_0; see [1].

It follows from the same argument as [1, Corollary 4.2] for multi-sectors that each Γ-sector inherits a complex structure. Hence $\tilde{Q}_\Gamma$ is a complex orbifold, and we define the Γ-Dolbeault cohomology as

$$H^{*,*}_\Gamma(Q) = H^{*,*}_\Gamma\left(\tilde{Q}_\Gamma\right).$$

In particular, if G is a compact, complex Lie group that acts effectively, locally freely, and holomorphically on the compact complex manifold M, then $M \rtimes G$ is a complex orbifold. As the action is locally free, the fixed-point set of each subgroup $H \leq G$ is either empty or the intersection of a finite collection of complex submanifolds. It follows that for each $H \leq G$ such that $M^H \neq \emptyset$, M^H is a complex submanifold, and the restriction of the $C_G(H)$-action to M^H is holomorphic.

2.3. **The Euler-Satake Characteristic and its Properties.**

Let Q be a compact orbifold of dimension n and let Q_{eff} be the effective orbifold associated to Q (see [1, Definition 2.33]). It is well known that Q_{eff} can be presented by a groupoid $M \rtimes G$ where M is a manifold and G a compact Lie group acting smoothly and locally freely on M. By [14, page 488] (see also [36] and [32]), it follows that Q_{eff} admits a good finite triangulation; i.e. a finite triangulation in which the G-isotropy type is constant on the interior of each simplex. Note that if $c : [0,1] \to Q$ is a curve in Q, then the isomorphism type of the isotropy group for points in c is constant if and only if it is constant on the induced curve in Q_{eff}. It follows that a good triangulation of Q_{eff} induces a triangulation of Q such that the isomorphism type of the isotropy group is constant on the interior of each simplex. By refining triangulations and using stellar subdivisions if necessary, we may also assume that each of the top simplices is contained inside the image of a linear orbifold chart; see [19]. Following the language for quotients, we will refer to such a triangulation of the orbifold Q as *good.*

The following definition was originally stated in [24] under the name *Euler characteristic as a V-manifold.*

Definition 2.1 (The Euler-Satake Characteristic)**.** *Let Q be a closed orbifold and $\mathcal{T}$ a good triangulation of Q. The* Euler-Satake characteristic of Q *is*

$$\chi_{ES}(Q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} (-1)^{dim\,\sigma} \frac{1}{|G_\sigma|},$$

where G_σ *denotes the isotropy group of a point on the interior of* σ*. If* Q' *is a subset of* Q *corresponding to a subcomplex* $\mathcal{T}'$ *of* $\mathcal{T}$*, then we define* $\chi_{ES}(Q')$ *identically, summing over those simplices contained in* $\mathcal{T}'$*.*

Note that if $\mathcal{G}$ is a groupoid presenting Q, it will frequently be convenient for us to use the notation $\chi_{ES}(\mathcal{G})$ to denote $\chi_{ES}(Q)$.

2.3.1. *Properties of the Euler-Satake Characteristic.* It is clear that $\chi_{ES}(Q)$ does not depend on the choice of (good) triangulation. By contrast, the standard Euler characteristic $\chi_{top}(Q)$ of the underlying topological space of Q can be expressed as

$$\chi_{top}(Q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} (-1)^{\dim \sigma}.$$

Hence, when Q is a manifold, i.e. when $G_\sigma = 1$ for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, $\chi_{ES}(Q) = \chi_{top}(Q)$.

Note that if Q is a global quotient orbifold presented by $M \rtimes G$ so that G is a finite group, then

$$\chi_{ES}(Q) = \frac{1}{|G|}\chi_{top}(M). \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly, it is easy to see that if Q is connected and noneffective, then

$$\chi_{ES}(Q) = \frac{1}{|K_p|}\chi_{ES}(Q_{\text{eff}}) \tag{2.2}$$

where K_p is the isotropy group of any point p that is nonsingular in Q_{eff} (equivalently the normal subgroup $K_p \leq G_p$ of any isotropy group that acts trivially in a chart). In the case that Q is not connected, the isomorphism class of K_p may vary over connected components.

It is also a direct consequence of the definition that the Euler-Satake characteristic is additive; that is, if Q_1 and Q_2 are subsets of Q such that $Q_1 \cup Q_2 = Q$, and the sets Q_1, Q_2, and $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ correspond to subcomplexes of $\mathcal{T}$, then

$$\chi_{ES}(Q_1 \cup Q_2) = \chi_{ES}(Q_1) + \chi_{ES}(Q_2) - \chi_{ES}(Q_1 \cap Q_2). \tag{2.3}$$

A *covering orbifold* of the orbifold Q presented by the orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-space E equipped with a connected covering projection $\rho : E \to G_0$; see [1, page 40]. Note that this definition generalizes [30, Definition 13.2.2] to the case of noneffective orbifolds, and further that it requires that the kernels of the actions on Q and the cover coincide. It follows that if $\rho : \hat{Q} \to Q$ is a covering orbifold, then $\rho_{\text{eff}} : \hat{Q}_{\text{eff}} \to Q_{\text{eff}}$ is a covering orbifold as well. We define the *number of sheets* of the covering $\rho : \hat{Q} \to Q$ to be the number of points in a preimage of a nonsingular point of Q_{eff}. We have the following.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\rho : \hat{Q} \to Q$ *be a covering orbifold with* k *sheets. Then*

$$\chi_{ES}(\hat{Q}) = k\chi_{ES}(Q).$$

See [30, Proposition 13.3.4] for the effective case, and note that the noneffective case follows from Equation 2.2.

2.3.2. *Multiplicativity of the Euler-Satake Characteristic.* If $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ are triangulations of spaces Q_1 and Q_2, respectively, then the simplicial product $\mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2$ is a triangulation of $Q_1 \times Q_2$; see [20, page 61] for the construction. We have the following.

Proposition 2.3. *The simplicial product of two good triangulations is good.*

Proof. It is enough to notice that, by construction, the interior of a simplex in the simplicial product is contained in the product of interiors of simplices in $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$. It follows that if $p \in \sigma_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1$ and $q \in \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2$, then $(p, q) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$ has isotropy $G_p \oplus G_q$. Moreover, if $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1$ is contained in the image of the linear chart $\{V_1, G_1, \pi_1\}$ for Q_1 and $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2$ is contained in the image of the linear chart $\{V_2, G_2, \pi_2\}$ for Q_2, then $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ is contained in the linear chart $\{V_1 \times V_2, G_1 \oplus G_2, \pi_1 \times \pi_2\}$ for $Q_1 \times Q_2$.

□

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 2.4 (Multiplicativity of the Euler-Satake Characteristic)**.** *Let Q_1 and Q_2 be compact orbifolds. Then*

$$\chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times Q_2) = \chi_{ES}(Q_1)\chi_{ES}(Q_2).$$

We first prove the following, whose proof loosely follows [15, page 260].

Lemma 2.5. *Let Q_1 be a smooth, compact manifold (possibly with boundary) and Q_2 a compact orbifold. Then*

$$\chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times Q_2) = \chi_{ES}(Q_1)\chi_{ES}(Q_2).$$

Note that $\chi_{ES}(Q_1) = \chi_{top}(Q_1)$.

Proof. Let n denote the dimension of Q_2, let $\mathcal{T}_1$ be a finite triangulation of Q_1, and let $\mathcal{T}_2$ be a good finite triangulation of $\mathcal{T}_2$. For $i = 1, 2$, we let $\mathcal{T}_i^t$ denote the t-skeleton of $\mathcal{T}_i$. Fix $\sigma^t \in \mathcal{T}_2$, and let $\{V_{\sigma^t}, G_{\sigma^t}, \pi_{\sigma^t}\}$ be a linear orbifold chart for Q_2 whose image contains σ^t. Let $\tilde{\sigma}^t = \pi^{-1}(\sigma^t)$, and then $\pi_{\sigma^t} : \tilde{\sigma}^t \to \sigma^t$ is an orbifold cover. It follows that $Q_1 \times \sigma^t$ is presented by $(Q_1 \times \tilde{\sigma}^t) \rtimes G_{\sigma^t}$ with G_{σ^t} acting trivially on the first factor. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times \sigma^t) &= \chi_{ES}((Q_1 \times \tilde{\sigma}^t) \rtimes G_{\sigma^t}) \\
&= \chi_{top}(Q_1 \times \tilde{\sigma}^t)/|G_{\sigma^t}| \\
&= \chi_{top}(Q_1)\chi_{top}(\tilde{\sigma}^t)/|G_{\sigma^t}| \\
&= \chi_{ES}(Q_1)\chi_{ES}(\sigma^t)
\end{aligned}$$

by Equation 2.1, the multiplicative property of χ_{top}, and the multiplicative property of χ_{ES} on orbifold covers.

Applying this to each $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_2$ and using Equation 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times Q_2) &= \sum_{\sigma^n \in \mathcal{T}_2^n} \chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times \sigma^n) - \sum_{\sigma^{n-1} \in \mathcal{T}_2^{n-1}} \chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times \sigma^{n-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\sigma^{n-2} \in \mathcal{T}_2^{n-2}} \chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times \sigma^{n-2}) + \cdots + (-1)^n \sum_{\sigma^0 \in \mathcal{T}_2^0} \chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times \sigma^0) \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-t} \sum_{\sigma^t \in \mathcal{T}_2^t} \chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times \sigma^t) \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-t} \sum_{\sigma^t \in \mathcal{T}_2^t} \chi_{ES}(Q_1)\chi_{ES}(\sigma^t) \\
&= \chi_{ES}(Q_1) \sum_{t=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-t} \sum_{\sigma^t \in \mathcal{T}_2^t} \chi_{ES}(\sigma^t) \\
&= \chi_{ES}(Q_1)\chi_{ES}(Q_2).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ be good finite triangulations of Q_1 and Q_2, respectively. We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 for skeletons and charts. Applying Lemma 2.5 to each $\sigma^t \in \mathcal{T}_2$ as well as multiplicativity on orbifold covers, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times \sigma^t) &= \chi_{ES}((Q_1 \times \tilde{\sigma}^t) \rtimes G_{\tilde{\sigma}^t}) \\
&= \chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times \tilde{\sigma}^t)/|G_{\tilde{\sigma}^t}| \\
&= \chi_{ES}(Q_1)\chi_{ES}(\tilde{\sigma}^t)/|G_{\tilde{\sigma}^t}| \\
&= \chi_{ES}(Q_1)\chi_{ES}(\sigma^t).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up over all of the simplices in $\mathcal{T}_2$ using Equation 2.3 as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$\chi_{ES}(Q_1 \times Q_2) = \chi_{ES}(Q_1)\chi_{ES}(Q_2).$$

□

2.4. **Wreath Products of Compact Groups.** In this section, we generalize some of the results of [27] to compact, connected Lie groups acting effectively and almost freely; see also [33]. Throughout this section, we take G to be a compact, connected Lie group and let $G^n = \prod_{i=1}^n G$ denote the direct product with elements denoted $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ denote the symmetric group on a set of n elements. Then $\mathcal{S}_n$ acts on G^n by setting

$$s(\mathbf{g}) = \big(g_{s^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, g_{s^{-1}(n)}\big)$$

for each $s \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$. Then the wreath product $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ of G by $\mathcal{S}_n$ is the semidirect product of G^n by this action. In particular, we have

$$(\mathbf{g}, s)(\mathbf{h}, t) = (\mathbf{g}\, s(\mathbf{h}), st)$$

and

$$(\mathbf{g}, s)^{-1} = (s^{-1}(\mathbf{g}^{-1}), s^{-1})$$

for $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in G^n$ and $s, t \in \mathcal{S}_n$.

2.4.1. *Conjugacy Classes.* The conjugacy classes of $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ correspond to conjugacy classes of G and conjugacy classes of $\mathcal{S}_n$. First, note that

$$(\mathbf{g}, s)(\mathbf{h}, t)(\mathbf{g}, s)^{-1} = (\mathbf{g}s(\mathbf{h})sts^{-1}(\mathbf{g}^{-1}),\ sts^{-1})$$

for $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in G^n$ and $s, t \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Hence, the conjugacy class of the element $(\mathbf{h}, s) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is given by

$$\left\{(\mathbf{g}s(\mathbf{h})sts^{-1}(\mathbf{g}^{-1}),\ sts^{-1}) | \mathbf{g} \in G^n, s \in \mathcal{S}_n\right\}.$$

We can decompose each element of $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ into a product of cycles corresponding to cycles in $\mathcal{S}_n$; see [27, page 129] or [33, Section 1]. In fact, given $(\mathbf{g}, s) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$, let $s = \prod_j s_j$ be the disjoint cycle decomposition of $s \in \mathcal{S}_n$, unique up to the order of the factors; note that we allow 1-cycles. For each $s_j = (j_1, \ldots, j_r)$, let $\mathbf{g}_j = (g_{1,j}, \ldots, g_{n,j}) \in G^n$ denote the element whose i-component is equal to g_i if $i \in \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ and 1 otherwise. For each j, k, $(\mathbf{g}_j, s_j)$ and $(\mathbf{g}_k, s_k)$ commute, and $(\mathbf{g}, s) = \prod_j (\mathbf{g}_j, s_j) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is called the *cycle decomposition* of $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ corresponding to $s = \prod_j s_j$.

The following proposition, giving information about the conjugacy class of $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ in $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$, is stated in [27, Proposition 3-1] for G finite. Notice that the outside product in Equation 2.4 is restricted to a finite set; with this modification, the proof by direct computation extends to the case of G compact. We let G_* denote the set of conjugacy classes of G and (c) the conjugacy class of $c \in G$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(\mathbf{g}, s) = \prod_j (\mathbf{g}_j, s_j) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ be a disjoint cycle decomposition of a fixed $(\mathbf{g}, s) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ as above.*

1. *Fix j, and let r be the length of the cycle s_j. We consider $(\mathbf{g}_j, s_j)$ as an element of $\mathcal{S}_r \leq \mathcal{S}_n$ in the usual way. Let $c_j \in G$ denote the* cycle product *of s_j, i.e. the product of the components of $\mathbf{g}_j$, so that $c_j = \prod_{i=1}^n g_{i,j}$. Then if $\mathbf{d}_j = (g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_r}g_{i_{r-1}} \cdots g_{i_1}) \in G^r$ and $\mathbf{c}_j = (c_j, 1, \ldots, 1) \in G^r$, we have*

   $$(\mathbf{g}_j, s_j) = (\mathbf{d}_j, 1)(\mathbf{c}_j, s_j)(\mathbf{d}_j, 1)^{-1} \in G(\mathcal{S}_r).$$

   Moreover, $(\mathbf{d}_j, 1)$ and $(\mathbf{d}_k, 1)$ commute for any j, k.
2. *Let $G_*(\mathbf{g}, s)$ denote the collection of conjugacy classes $(c) \in G_*$ such that $c_j \in (c)$ for some j. For each $(c) \in G_*(\mathbf{g}, s)$ and each $r = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, let $m_r(c)$ denote the number of r-cycles s_j of s such that the corresponding c_j is conjugate to c. We enumerate the corresponding s_j and $\mathbf{c}_j$ as $s_{j((c),r)_1}, \ldots s_{j((c),r)_{m_r(c)}}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{j_{m_r(c)}}$, which are now considered as elements of $\mathcal{S}_n$ and $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$, respectively. Let $(\mathbf{d}, 1) = \prod_j (\mathbf{d}_j, 1) \in G(\mathcal{S}_r)$. Then the cycle decomposition $(\mathbf{g}, s) = \prod_j (\mathbf{g}_j, s_j)$ of $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ induces the decomposition*

$$(\mathbf{g}, s) = (\mathbf{d}, 1) \left(\prod_{(c) \in G_*(\mathbf{g}, s)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{m_r(c)} (\mathbf{c}_{j((c),r)_i}, s_{j((c),r)_i}) \right) (\mathbf{d}, 1)^{-1} \in G(\mathcal{S}_n). \tag{2.4}$$

Note that $G_*(\mathbf{g}, s)$ is finite so that the above product is defined.

Proof. Part (1) can be verified by a direct computation. Then (2) follows as we are taking the product over all possible cycle lengths, and as the $(\mathbf{g}_j, s_j)$ commute. □

It follows from Proposition 2.6 that for each cycle s_j, the conjugacy class of the corresponding c_j is uniquely determined. Hence, we have the following.

Definition 2.7. *Fix $(\mathbf{g}, s) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$. With the notation as in Proposition 2.6, there is a partition-valued function $\rho : G_* \to \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the set of partitions of all nonnegative integers. By a partition of m, we mean a string M_r indexed by $r = 1, \ldots, m$ such that $\sum_{r=1}^{m} rM_r = m$. Indeed, every $(c) \in G_*(\mathbf{g}, s)$ determines the partition $m_r(c)$, $r = 1, \ldots, t_c$, of $\sum_r rm_r(c) = t_c$. If $(c) \in G_*, (c) \notin G_*(\mathbf{g}, s)$, then we simply set $\rho(c) = 0$ the empty partition of 0.*

Note that for a given $(\mathbf{g}, s) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ and $(c) \in G_*$, there are only finitely many nonzero $m_r(c)$. Moreover, as $s \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is decomposed into cycles,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{(c)\in G_*} rm_r(c) = n. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, $t_c \leq n$ is finite. If we set $m_r = \sum_{(c)\in G_*} m_r(c)$, then $\sum_{r=1}^{n} rm_r = n$ so that the m_r determine a partition of n.

The partition-valued function $\rho : G_* \to \mathcal{P}$ associated to $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ is called the type *of $(\mathbf{g}, s)$, and is formally denoted using the notation*

$$\rho(c) = (1^{m_1(c)}, \ldots, r^{m_r(c)}, \ldots).$$

where $m_r(c) \neq 0$ only for finitely many $(c) \in G_*, r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

By Proposition 2.6, the conjugacy classes of $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ are parameterized by types, generalizing to wreath products the well-known fact that the conjugacy classes of $\mathcal{S}_n$ are determined by the structure of their cycle decomposition. For further generalizations to actions of wreath products, see Section 2.5 and in particular Remark 2.12.

2.4.2. *Centralizers.* We will now study the structure of the centralizer of an element $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ of the wreath product $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ up to conjugacy by $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$. We will prove in Theorem 2.9 that $C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g}, s)$ can be decomposed as a product of wreath products.

Let $(\mathbf{g}, s) = \prod_j (\mathbf{g}_j, s_j) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ be the cycle decomposition of $(\mathbf{g}, s)$. If $(\mathbf{h}, t) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ commutes with $(\mathbf{g}, s)$, then

$$(\mathbf{h}, t)(\mathbf{g}, s)(\mathbf{h}, t)^{-1} = (\mathbf{g}, s).$$

It follows from Proposition 2.6 and the fact that conjugation preserves cycles, cycle length, and type that for each j, there is a unique k such that

$$(\mathbf{h}, t)(\mathbf{g}_j, s_j)(\mathbf{h}, t)^{-1} = (\mathbf{g}_k, s_k).$$

Moreover, $(c_j) = (c_k) = (c) \in G_*(\mathbf{g}, s)$, and s_i and s_j are both of length r. It follows that conjugation by $(\mathbf{h}, t)$ permutes the $m_r(c)$ elements $(\mathbf{c}_{j((c),r)_i}, s_{j((c),r)_i})$ in the decomposition given by Equation 2.4 leaving r and (c) fixed. It therefore induces a homomorphism

$$p : C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g}, s) \to \prod_{(c)\in G_*(\mathbf{g},s)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}$ as usual denotes the permutation group on $m_r(c)$ elements. We have the following, stated as [27, Lemmas 3-3 and 3-4] for G finite.

Proposition 2.8. *The homomorphism*

$$p : C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g}, s) \to \prod_{(c)\in G_*(\mathbf{g},s)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}$$

is split surjective, and

$$Ker(p) = \left\{(\mathbf{h}, t) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n) : (\mathbf{h}, t)(\mathbf{g}_j, s_j)(\mathbf{h}, t)^{-1} = (\mathbf{g}_j, s_j)\ \forall j\right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

If $G^r_{(j)}$ denotes the subgroup of $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ isomorphic to $G(\mathcal{S}_r)$ corresponding to the positions of s_j, then

$$C_{G^r_{(j)}}(\mathbf{g}_j, s_j) \cong C_G(c_j)\langle a_{r,c_j}\rangle \tag{2.7}$$

where $a_{r,c_j} = (\mathbf{c}_j, (12\dots r)) = ((c_j, 1, \dots, 1), (12\dots r))$.

By $C_G(c_j)\langle a_{r,c_j}\rangle$, we mean the subgroup of $G(\mathcal{S}_r)$ generated by $C_G(c_j)$ and a_{r,c_j}. Note that $(a_{r,c_j})^r = ((c_j, \dots c_j), 1)$ and $[a_{r,c_j}, C_G(c_j)] = 1$, with $C_G(c_j)$ identified with a subgroup of $G^r_{(j)}$ as diagonal elements $((h, \dots, h), 1)$.

Proof. Following [27, Lemma 3-3], we write each s_j in the decomposition $(\mathbf{g}, s) = \prod_j(\mathbf{g}_j, s_j)$ starting with the smallest integer. We construct a homomorphism

$$\lambda : \prod_{(c)\in G_*(\mathbf{g},s)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$$

by sending an element $\overline{t} \in \prod_{(c)\in G_*(\mathbf{g},s)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}$ that sends the cycle $s_j = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$ to $s_k = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ to $\lambda(\overline{t}) = t \in \mathcal{S}_n$, where $t(j_\ell) = k_\ell$, $1 \le \ell \le r$. Then each element of the image of λ commutes with s. Define the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Lambda : & \prod_{(c)\in G_*(\mathbf{g},s)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)} & \longrightarrow & C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g}, s) \\ \\ : & \overline{t} & \longmapsto & (\mathbf{d}, 1)(1, \lambda(\overline{t}))(\mathbf{d}, 1)^{-1} \end{array}$$

with $(\mathbf{d}, 1)$ as in Proposition 2.6. Then as $\Lambda(\overline{t})$ commutes with $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ for every $\overline{t} \in \prod_{(c)\in G_*(\mathbf{g},s)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}$ and $p \circ \Lambda$ is the identity, p is split surjective. Equation 2.6 follows directly.

To prove Equation 2.7, first note that if $(\mathbf{h}, 1)$ commutes with $(\mathbf{c}_r, (12\dots r))$ for some $\mathbf{h} \in G^r$, then $\mathbf{h}$ must be of the form $(h, h, \dots, h)$ for some $h \in C_G(c)$. Similarly, if $(\mathbf{h}, \sigma)$ commutes with $(\mathbf{c}_r, (12\dots r))$, then $\sigma \in C_{\mathcal{S}_r}(12\dots r)$. The result follows after noting that by Proposition 2.6, every element of a given type can be conjugated to a product of elements of the above type.

□

With this, the following important description of the centralizer of $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ in $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ generalizes to the case of G compact, connected with identical proof; see [27, Theorem 3-5] and [33, Lemma 2].

Theorem 2.9. *Let $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ in $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$. Then*

$$C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g}, s) \cong \prod_{(c)\in G_*(\mathbf{g},s)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} C_G(c)\langle a_{r,c}\rangle(\mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}),$$

where $C_G(c)\langle a_{r,c}\rangle(\mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)})$ *is a wreath product,* $a^r_{r,c} = (c, \dots c)$*, and* $m_r(c)$ *again denotes the number of* r*-cycles in the cycle decomposition of* $(\mathbf{g}, s)$ *with cycle product in the conjugacy class* (c)*. The isomorphism is induced by the conjugation given in Equation 2.4.*

Hence, the centralizer of an element $(\mathbf{g}, s) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ can be decomposed into a product of centralizers according to the cycle decomposition of $s \in \mathcal{S}_n$.

2.5. **Actions of Wreath Products on Manifolds.** Let M be a G-manifold, and consider the action of $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ on M^n given by

$$(\mathbf{g}, s)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (g_1 x_{s^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_n x_{s^{-1}(n)}).$$

We let $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ denote the orbifold presented by the groupoid $M^n \rtimes G(\mathcal{S}_n)$, called the *wreath symmetric product of* $M \rtimes G$. When $n = 1$, note that $M \rtimes G(\mathcal{S}_1) = M \rtimes G$.

In this section, we study the structure of the fixed point sets $(M^n)^{\langle(\mathbf{g},s)\rangle}$ for a fixed $(\mathbf{g}, s) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$. The results of this section generalize to almost free, effective actions of a compact, connected Lie group several results proven in [33] and [27] for G finite. First, we note the following general facts about group actions and symmetric products.

Suppose K is a compact, connected Lie group acting almost freely, effectively, and smoothly on the smooth manifold Z in such a way that $Z \rtimes K$ is Morita equivalent to an orbifold groupoid. By [2, Theorem 5.3] (see also [12]),

$$H^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z \rtimes K) = \bigoplus_{(k) \in t_{Z;K}} H^*\left(Z^{\langle k\rangle} \rtimes C_K(k)\right),$$

where $t_{Z;K}$ denotes the set of conjugacy classes in K with non-empty fixed point set in Z. It follows that the delocalized cohomology is isomorphic to the complexified orbifold K-theory in this case.

If K and L are compact, connected Lie groups such that L acts on K and $K \rtimes L$ acts on Z, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(Z \rtimes (K \rtimes L)) &\cong H^*(Z)^{K \rtimes L} \\ &\cong H^*(Z \rtimes L)^L \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where the latter two expressions indicate the invariant cohomology.

Now, consider the $\mathcal{S}_n$-action on M^n, M a smooth manifold, by permuting factors, and let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Then we have that

$$C_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\sigma) \cong \mathcal{S}_{m_1(\sigma)} \times \prod_{j=2}^n \mathbb{Z}_{m_j(\sigma)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_j(\sigma)}.$$

Letting $\Delta_j(M)$ denote the diagonal in M^j, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M^n)^{\langle\sigma\rangle} &\cong \prod_{j=1}^n (\Delta_j(M))^{m_j(\sigma)} \\ &\cong \prod_{j=1}^n M^{m_j(\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(M^n)^{\langle\sigma\rangle} \rtimes C_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\sigma) \cong \prod_{j=1}^n M^{m_j(\sigma)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_j(\sigma)}.$$

By definition, the delocalized cohomology of $M^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n$ is given by

$$H^*\left(\widetilde{(M^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n)_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) = \bigoplus_{(\sigma)\in t_{M^n;\mathcal{S}_n}} H^*(M^{\langle\sigma\rangle} \rtimes C_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\sigma)), \tag{2.9}$$

where $t_{M^n;\mathcal{S}_n}$ again denotes the conjugacy classes $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $(M^n)^{\langle\sigma\rangle} \neq \emptyset$. As σ is conjugate to τ in $\mathcal{S}_n$ if and only if $m_j(\sigma) = m_j(\tau)$ for each $j = 1, \dots n$, the conjugacy classes of the symmetric group are parametrized by the partitions of n. Summing over all $j, m_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n jm_j = n$, Equation 2.9 becomes

$$H^*\left(\widetilde{(M^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n)_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{j,m_j} H^*\left(\prod_{j=1}^n M^{m_j}/\mathcal{S}_{m_j}\right).$$

Using Equation 2.8 and the Künneth Theorem (see [21]), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H^*\left(\widetilde{(M^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n)_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) &\cong \bigoplus_{j,m_j} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \left(H^*(M)^{\bigoplus^{m_j}}\right)^{\mathcal{S}_{m_j}} \\ &\cong \bigotimes_{j,m_j} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \mathcal{SP}^{m_j}[H^*(M)] \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{SP}$ denote the symmetric product, i.e. the subspace fixed under the action of the symmetric group by permuting coordinates.

We now return to the case of a wreath symmetric product. We begin by studying the special case of $(\mathbf{g}, s) = ((g, 1, \dots, 1), \tau) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ with $\tau = (1, 2, \dots, n) \in \mathcal{S}_n$ that arose in Proposition 2.8. Given Propositions 2.6 and 2.8, the proofs of [33, Lemmas 4 and 5] generalize to the case of G compact and connected, yielding the following.

Proposition 2.10. *Suppose that $a = ((g, 1, \dots, 1), \tau) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ for some $g \in G$ with $\tau = (1, 2, \dots, n) \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Then*

$$(M^n)^{\langle a\rangle} = \{(x, \dots, x) \in M^n : x = gx\}.$$

The group $C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(a)$ is isomorphic to $C_G(g)\langle a\rangle$, and the action of $C_G(g)\langle a\rangle$ on $(M^n)^{\langle a\rangle}$ can be identified via the diagonal map with the action of $C_G(g)$ on $M^{\langle g\rangle}$ together with the trivial a-action. Therefore, by Equation 2.8, we have

$$H^*\left((M^n)^{\langle a\rangle} \rtimes C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(a)\right) \cong H^*\left(M^{\langle g\rangle}\right)^{C_G(g)}.$$

Using the decomposition given in Proposition 2.6, it follows that $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ acts locally freely on M^n if and only if G acts locally freely on M. Hence, $M \rtimes G$ is Morita equivalent to an orbifold groupoid if and only if the same holds for $M^n \rtimes G(\mathcal{S}_n)$. With this, we can determine the delocalized equivariant cohomology of $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$, that is, the standard cohomology of the inertia orbifold $\widetilde{MG(\mathcal{S}_n)_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ of $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ in the case that $M \rtimes G$ is Morita equivalent to an orbifold groupoid (see Subsection 2.2).

Proposition 2.11. *For a fixed $(\mathbf{g}, s) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ with nonempty fixed-point set in M^n, the groupoid $(M^n)^{\langle(\mathbf{g},s)\rangle} \rtimes C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}((\mathbf{g}, s))$ is given as follows. First, using the notation of Section 2.4, let*

$$Y^{m_r(c)} = \left(M^{\langle c\rangle}\right)^{m_r(c)} \rtimes (C_G(c))^{m_r(c)},$$

and let $\mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}$ act on $Y^{m_r(c)}$ by permuting the coordinates of $(M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)}$. Then

$$Y^{m_r(c)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)} = \Big((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes C_G(c)^{m_r(c)}\Big) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}.$$

It follows by Equation 2.8 that

$$H^*\left(Y^{m_r(c)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}\right) \cong H^*\left((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes C_G(c)^{m_r(c)}\right)^{\mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}}.$$

Hence,

$$H^*\left((M^n)^{\langle a\rangle} \rtimes C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(a)\right) \cong \bigotimes_{(c)\in t_{M;G}} \bigotimes_{r=1}^{n} H^*\left((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes C_G(c)^{m_r(c)}\right)^{\mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}},$$

where $\sum_r rm_r(c) = t_c \leq n$.

Proof. The decomposition $(\mathbf{g}, s) = \prod_i(\mathbf{g}_i, s_i)$ in $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ from Proposition 2.6 implies that

$$(M^n)^{\langle(\mathbf{g},s)\rangle} \cong \prod_j (M^{r_j})^{\langle(\mathbf{g}_j,s_j)\rangle},$$

where $M^{r_j} \subseteq M^n$ corresponds to the positions of s_j. By Proposition 2.6,

$$(M^n)^{\langle(\mathbf{g},s)\rangle} \cong \prod_{(c)\in G_*(\mathbf{g},s)} \left(M^{\langle c\rangle}\right)^{\sum_{r=1}^n m_r(c)}.$$

Note in particular that each cycle s_j corresponds to a cycle product with conjugacy class (c) such that $M^{\langle c\rangle} \neq \emptyset$.

By synthesizing Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 2.11, the action of the centralizer $C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g}, s)$ on $(M^n)^{\langle(\mathbf{g},s)\rangle}$ can be identified with a product of actions of wreath products of the form

$$[C_G(c)\langle a_{r,c}\rangle]^{m_r(c)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)},$$

for an element $a_{r,c}$ acting trivially on $M^{\langle c\rangle}$, and with $\mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}$ acting by permutations on $[C_{G(c)}a_{r,c}]^{m_r(c)}$. The result follows.

□

Remark 2.12. The above results imply that the conjugacy classes of $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$ with non-empty fixed point sets in M^n are parameterized by the *finite* set $t_{M;G}$ of conjugacy classes $(c) \in G_*$ with non-empty fixed point sets and their types $m_r(c)$ with $r = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, let $t_{M;G} = \{(c_1), \dots, (c_N)\}$. The set $t_{M^n;G(\mathcal{S}_n)} = \{(a) \in G(\mathcal{S}_n) : (M^n)^{\langle a\rangle} \neq \emptyset\}$ is parameterized by the $m_r(c_k)$ with $r = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 1, \dots N$. We emphasize the equalities $\sum_{(c)\in t_{M;G}} \sum_r rm_r(c) = n$ and $\sum_r rm_r = n$ from Definition 2.7, which play an important role in the sequel.

By the above observations, when M/G and hence $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is an orbifold, the inertia orbifold $(\widetilde{MG(\mathcal{S}_n)})_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is presented by the groupoid

(2.10)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{IMG}_n &= \coprod_{((\mathbf{g},s))\in t_{M^n;G(\mathcal{S}_n)}} (M^n)^{\langle(\mathbf{g},s)\rangle} \rtimes C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g}, s) \\ &= \coprod_{m_r(c)} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \prod_{(c)\in t_{M;G}} \left((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes (C_G(c)\langle a_{r,c}\rangle)^{m_r(c)}\right) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H^*\left(\widetilde{(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) &= \bigoplus_{((\mathbf{g},s))\in t_{M^n;G(\mathcal{S}_n)}} H^*\left((M^n)^{\langle(\mathbf{g},s)\rangle} \rtimes C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g},s)\right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{m_r(c)} \bigotimes_{r=1}^{n} \bigotimes_{(c)\in t_{M;G}} H^*\left((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes (C_G(c)^{m_r(c)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)})\right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{m_r(c)} \bigotimes_{r=1}^{n} \bigotimes_{(c)\in t_{M;G}} H^*\left((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes C_G(c)^{m_r(c)}\right)^{\mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

3. Behavior of Γ-Sectors Under Operations on Groups and Orbifolds

In this section, examine operations on groups and orbifolds and the corresponding operations on the Γ-sectors.

3.1. The $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$-Sectors.

Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be finitely generated groups. Since $\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma_1}$ is an orbifold with orbifold structure given by the groupoid $\mathcal{G}^\Gamma = \mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}$, it makes sense to form the Γ_2-sectors of this orbifold as the translation groupoid $(\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}) \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}\ltimes\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}^{\Gamma_2}$. We denote the orbifold associated to the resulting action groupoid $\widetilde{\left(\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma_1}\right)}_{\Gamma_2}$. We claim the following, which by virtue of [12, Theorems 3.1 and 3.5] is a generalization of [28, Proposition 2-1 (2)] (see also [27, Proposition 2-1]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid and Γ_1 and Γ_2 finitely generated discrete groups. There is a groupoid isomorphism from $\left(\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}\right) \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}\ltimes\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}^{\Gamma_2}$ to $\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{G}$ is an orbifold groupoid, then the orbifolds $\widetilde{\left(\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma_1}\right)}_{\Gamma_2}$ and $\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2}$, presented by $\left(\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}\right) \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}\ltimes\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}^{\Gamma_2}$ and $\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2}$, respectively, are diffeomorphic.*

Proof. First, we demonstrate a bijection between the spaces of objects. An element of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2}$ is a homomorphism $\Phi_x : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \to G_x$ where $x \in G_0$. An element of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}\ltimes\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}^{\Gamma_2}$ is a homomorphism ψ_{ϕ_x} from Γ_2 into the isotropy group of the point $\phi_x \in \left(\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}\right)_0 = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}$ with respect to the groupoid $\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}$. Note that the isotropy group of ϕ_x is $C_{G_x}(\phi_x)$.

Fix $x \in G_0$; we claim that there is a bijection between homomorphisms $\Phi_x : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \to G_x$ and pairs of homomorphisms $\phi_x : \Gamma_1 \to G_x$ and $\psi_{\phi_x} : \Gamma_2 \to C_{G_x}(\phi_x)$. Let $\phi_x : \Gamma_1 \to G_x$ and $\psi_{\phi_x} : \Gamma_2 \to C_{G_x}(\phi_x)$. We define $\phi_x \centerdot \psi_{\phi_x}$ to be the pointwise product, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi_x \centerdot \psi_{\phi_x} : & \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 & \longrightarrow & G_x \\ : & (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) & \longmapsto & \phi_x(\gamma_1)\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2). \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

As $\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2) \in C_{G_x}(\phi)$ for each $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$ so that it commutes with $\phi_x(\gamma_1)$ for each $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$, $\phi_x \centerdot \psi_{\phi_x}$ is clearly a homomorphism.

Given a homomorphism $\Phi_x : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \to G_x$, if we set $\phi_x(\gamma_1) = \Phi_x(\gamma_1, 1)$ for each $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and $\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2) = \Phi_x(1, \gamma_2)$ for each $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$, then $\Phi_x = \phi_x \centerdot \psi_{\phi_x}$. Moreover, as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x(\gamma_1)\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2) &= \Phi_x[(\gamma_1, 1)(1, \gamma_2)] \\ &= \Phi_x[(1, \gamma_2)(\gamma_1, 1)] \\ &= \psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2)\phi_x(\gamma_1), \end{aligned}$$

it is clear that $\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2) \in C_{G_x}(\phi_x)$ for each $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$.

It follows that for each $x \in G_0$, the fiber of

$$\beta_{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2} \longrightarrow G_0$$

over each $x \in G_0$ is in bijective correspondence with the fiber of the composition

$$\mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}} \xrightarrow{\beta_{\Gamma_1}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1} \xrightarrow{\beta_{\Gamma_2}} G_0$$

over x. Hence, the map

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 : \quad \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}} \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2} \\ : \quad \psi_{\phi_x} \quad &\longmapsto \quad \phi_x \centerdot \psi_{\phi_x} \end{aligned}$$

is bijective.

Now, we represent $\left(\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}\right) \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}$ as a $\mathcal{G}$-space. An arrow in $\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}$ is given by a pair (h, ϕ_x) with $\phi_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}$ and an $h \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $s(h) = x$. Then for each $\psi_{\phi_x} \in \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}$ and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$, the action is given by

$$[(h, \phi_x) \cdot \psi_{\phi_x}](\gamma_2) = h\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2)h^{-1}$$

where $h\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2)h^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from Γ_2 to $C_{G_{t(h)}}(h\phi_x h^{-1})$. Hence, if we let $\alpha : \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}} \to G_0$ be defined by $\alpha(\psi_{\phi_x}) = x$, then α is the anchor map of a $\mathcal{G}$-action defined by

$$[h \cdot \psi_{\phi_x}](\gamma_2) = h\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2)h^{-1},$$

defined whenever $\alpha(\psi_{\phi_x}) = s(h) = x$. The requirements of a groupoid action are clearly satisfied, so that $\left(\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}\right) \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}$.

With this, note that for each $h \in G_1$ with $s(h) = x$, $\psi_{\phi_x} \in \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma_1}}$, $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_0[h \cdot \psi_{\phi_x}](\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= e_0[h\psi_{\phi_x}h^{-1}](\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \\ &= [(h \cdot \phi_x) \centerdot (h\psi_{\phi_x}h^{-1})](\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \\ &= (h\phi_x(\gamma_1)h^{-1})(h\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2)h^{-1}) \\ &= h(\phi_x(\gamma_1)\psi_{\phi_x}(\gamma_2))h^{-1} \\ &= [h \cdot (\phi_x \centerdot \psi_{\phi_x})](\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \\ &= [h \cdot e_0(\psi_{\phi_x})](\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that e_0 is $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant, and hence by [12, Lemma 2.6], is the map on objects of a groupoid isomorphism. The map on arrows is given by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} e_1: & \mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1}_{\mathcal{G}}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G}} \\ \\ : & (h, \psi_{\phi_x}) & \longmapsto & (h, \phi_x \mathbin{\scriptscriptstyle\blacksquare} \psi_{\phi_x}). \end{array}$$

Now, assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is an orbifold groupoid. We claim that e_0 is smooth, completing the proof.

Recall from [11, Lemma 2.2] the smooth structures on $\mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1}_{\mathcal{G}}}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Near $\phi_x \in \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1}_{\mathcal{G}}$, a manifold chart is of the form $\{V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle}, \kappa_{\phi_x}\}$ with κ_{ϕ_x} defined as follows. For each $y \in V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle}$, there is an injective group homomorphism $\xi_x^y : G_y \to G_x$ arising from the isomorphism between $\mathcal{G}|_{V_x}$ and $G_x \ltimes V_x$. As y is fixed by $\operatorname{Im} \phi_x$, the image of ξ_x^y contains $\operatorname{Im} \phi_x$, so we can define $\kappa_{\phi_x}(y) = (\xi_x^y)^{-1} \circ \phi_x : \Gamma \to G_y$.

Near $\psi_{\phi_x} \in \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1}_{\mathcal{G}}}$, then, a manifold chart is of the form $\left\{ \left(V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle} \right)^{\langle \psi_{\phi_x} \rangle}, \kappa_{\psi_{\phi_x}} \right\}$ with $\left(V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle} \right)^{\langle \psi_{\phi_x} \rangle} = V_x^{\langle \phi_x, \psi_{\phi_x} \rangle}$. It follows from the definition of $\kappa_{\psi_{\phi_x}}$ and the fact that the arrows in $\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1}_{\mathcal{G}}$ are those of $\mathcal{G}$ that

$$\kappa_{\psi_{\phi_x}} : y \longmapsto (\xi_x^y)^{-1} \circ \psi_{\phi_x} \in \operatorname{HOM}(\Gamma_2, C_{G_x}((\xi_x^y)^{-1} \circ \phi_x)). \tag{3.2}$$

Near $\Phi_x \in \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G}}$, a manifold chart is of the form $\{V_x^{\langle \Phi_x \rangle}, \kappa_{\Phi_x}\}$. We have

$$\kappa_{\Phi_x} : y \longmapsto (\xi_x^y)^{-1} \circ \Phi_x \in \operatorname{HOM}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2, G_y).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} V_x^{\langle e_0(\psi_{\phi_x}) \rangle} &= V_x^{\langle \phi_x \mathbin{\scriptscriptstyle\blacksquare} \psi_{\phi_x} \rangle} \\ &= V_x^{\langle \phi_x, \psi_{\phi_x} \rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

so that a manifold chart at $e_0(\psi_{\phi_x})$ for $\mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is identified with a chart at ψ_{ϕ_x} for $\mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_2}_{\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma_1}_{\mathcal{G}}}$.

Applying e_0 to Equation 3.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} e_0[\kappa_{\psi_{\phi_x}}(y)] &= e_0[(\xi_x^y)^{-1} \circ \psi_{\phi_x}] \\ &= [((\xi_x^y)^{-1} \circ \phi_x) \mathbin{\scriptscriptstyle\blacksquare} ((\xi_x^y)^{-1} \circ \psi_{\phi_x})] \\ &= [(\xi_x^y)^{-1} \circ (\phi_x \mathbin{\scriptscriptstyle\blacksquare} \psi_{\phi_x})] \\ &= \kappa_{e_0(\psi_{\phi_x})}(y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that on each chart, e_0 is simply the identity map on charts and hence a diffeomorphism. It follows from [12, Lemma 2.6] that e is an isomorphism of orbifold groupoids.

□

3.2. **The Γ-Sectors of Product Orbifolds.** In this subsection, we prove the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are groupoids and Γ is a finitely generated discrete group. Then $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})^\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{H}^\Gamma$ are isomorphic. If $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are orbifold groupoids, then the isomorphism is of orbifold groupoids.*

Note that this in particular implies that if Q_1 is presented by $\mathcal{G}$ and Q_2 is presented by $\mathcal{H}$, then $\widetilde{(Q_1 \times Q_2)}_\Gamma$ and $\widetilde{(Q_1)}_\Gamma \times \widetilde{(Q_2)}_\Gamma$ are diffeomorphic orbifolds, generalizing [28, Proposition 2-1 (1)] to the case of general orbifold groupoids (see also [27, Proposition 2-1]).

Proof. Recall that $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})^\Gamma = (\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}) \ltimes (\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{H}^\Gamma = (\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma) \times (\mathcal{H} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma)$. Note that an element of $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma$ is of the form (ϕ_x, ψ_y) where $x \in G_0$, $y \in H_0$, $\phi_x \in \mathrm{HOM}(\Gamma, G_x)$, and $\psi_y \in \mathrm{HOM}(\Gamma, H_y)$. Define the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} e_0: & \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{H}}^\Gamma \\ : & (\phi_x, \psi_y) & \longmapsto & \phi_x \times \psi_y \end{array}$$

where $\phi_x \times \psi_y \in \mathrm{HOM}(\Gamma, G_x \times H_y)$ is defined by

$$(\phi_x \times \psi_y)(\gamma) = (\phi_x(\gamma), \psi_y(\gamma)) \in G_x \times H_y.$$

That e_0 is bijective is obvious, as it is inverted by (pr_1, pr_2) where the pr_i correspond to projection onto the first and second factors, respectively.

Now, note that the componentwise $\mathcal{G}$- and $\mathcal{H}$-actions defining $\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{H}^\Gamma$ correspond to commuting $\mathcal{G}$- and $\mathcal{H}$-actions on $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma$. In other words, if we define $\mathcal{G}$- and $\mathcal{H}$-actions on $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma$ by $g \cdot (\phi_x, \psi_y) = (g \cdot \phi_x, \psi_y)$ and $h \cdot (\phi_x, \psi_y) = (\phi_x, h \cdot \psi_y)$ for $g \in G_1$, $h \in H_1$, and $(\phi_x, \psi_y) \in \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma$, then we clearly have

$$g \cdot [h \cdot (\phi_x, \psi_y)] = h \cdot [g \cdot (\phi_x, \psi_y)].$$

Moreover, with respect to this action,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{H}^\Gamma &= (\mathcal{G} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma) \times (\mathcal{H} \ltimes \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma) \\ &= (\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}) \ltimes (\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Note further that for each $g \in G_1$, $h \in H_1$, and $(\phi_x, \psi_y) \in \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_0[g \cdot [h \cdot (\phi_x, \psi_y)]] &= e_0(g \cdot \phi_x, h \cdot \psi_y) \\ &= (g \cdot \phi_x) \times (h \cdot \psi_y) \\ &= (g, h) \cdot (\phi_x \times \psi_y) \\ &= (g, h) \cdot e_0(\phi_x, \psi_y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}$-actions on $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{G}^\Gamma \times \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{H}^\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{H}}^\Gamma$ obviously coincide via e_0, and by [12, Lemma 2.6], e_0 induces a groupoid isomorphism.

If $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are orbifold groupoids, then restricted to charts of the form

$$\left\{ V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle}, C_{G_x}(\phi_x), \pi_x^{\phi_x} \right\} \times \left\{ W_y^{\langle \psi_y \rangle}, C_{H_y}(\psi_y), \pi_y^{\psi_y} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ (V \times W)_{(x,y)}^{\langle \phi_x \times \psi_y \rangle}, C_{G_x \times H_y}(\phi_x \times \psi_y), \pi_{(x,y)}^{\phi_x \times \psi_y} \right\},$$

e_0 corresponds to the map $V_x^{\langle\phi_x\rangle} \times W_y^{\langle\psi_y\rangle} \longrightarrow (V_x \times W_y)^{\langle\phi_x\times\psi_y\rangle}$ and hence is a diffeomorphism. It follows that e is an isomorphism of orbifold groupoids.

□

In [11], an equivalence relation $\approx$ was defined on the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$, and it was shown that $\phi_x \approx \psi_y$ if and only if the $\mathcal{G}$-orbits of ϕ_x and ψ_y are on the same connected component of $|\mathcal{G}^\Gamma|$. The $\approx$-class of ϕ_x is denoted $(\phi)_\approx$ or simply (ϕ) if no confusion is introduced. Then T_Q^Γ was defined to be the set of $\approx$-classes of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$.

As a consequence of Proposition 3.2, the connected components of $\widetilde{(Q_1 \times Q_2)}_\Gamma$ clearly correspond to products of connected components of $\widetilde{(Q_1)}_\Gamma$ and connected components of $\widetilde{(Q_2)}_\Gamma$. Hence, there is a bijection between $T_{Q_1}^\Gamma \times T_{Q_2}^\Gamma$ and $T_{Q_1\times Q_2}^\Gamma$ as sets. This bijection is evidently given by $((\phi)_{\approx,\mathcal{G}^\Gamma}, (\psi)_{\approx,\mathcal{H}^\Gamma}) \mapsto (\phi\times\psi)_{\approx,(\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{H})^\Gamma}$.

3.3. **Maps on Γ-Sectors Induced by Group Homomorphisms.** Let Λ and Γ be finitely generated discrete groups and $\Phi : \Lambda \to \Gamma$ a group homomorphism. Given a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, Φ induces a map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} e_{\Phi 0}: & \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda} \\ \\ : & \phi_x & \longmapsto & \phi_x \circ \Phi. \end{array}$$

As the notation suggests, this map is the map on objects of a groupoid homomorphism.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid, let Λ and Γ be finitely generated discrete groups, and let $\Phi : \Lambda \to \Gamma$ be a group homomorphism. Then Φ induces a groupoid homomorphism*

$$e_\Phi : \mathcal{G}^\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^\Lambda.$$

If $\mathcal{G}$ is an orbifold groupoid, then e_Φ is a homomorphism of orbifold groupoids. The map $e_{\Phi 0}$ on objects is an immersion.

Proof. By [12, Lemma 2.6], it suffices to check that e_0 is $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant and, in the case of an orbifold groupoid, smooth. Recall that the anchor map of the $\mathcal{G}$-action on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ is $\beta_\Gamma : \phi_x \mapsto x$; similarly, the anchor map of the $\mathcal{G}$-action on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda}$ is $\beta_\Lambda : \psi_x \mapsto x$. Given $\phi_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$, as $e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x) = \phi_x \circ \Phi$ is a homomorphism from Λ into G_x, we see that $\beta_\Lambda \circ e_0(\phi_x) = x = \beta_\Gamma(\phi_x)$, and so $\beta_\Lambda \circ e_{\Phi 0} = \beta_\Gamma$.

For each $h \in G_1$, $\phi_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [h \cdot e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)](\gamma) &= h[\phi_x(\Phi(\gamma))]h^{-1} \\ &= (h \cdot \phi_x)(\Phi(\gamma)) \\ &= [e_{\Phi 0}(h \cdot \phi_x)](\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

so that $e_{\Phi 0}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant map.

Now suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is an orbifold groupoid. Fix $\phi_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ and a linear chart $\{V_x, G_x, \pi_x\}$ for Q. Then $\left\{V_x^{\langle\phi_x\rangle}, \kappa_{\phi_x}\right\}$ is a manifold chart for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ at ϕ_x and $\left\{V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)\rangle}, \kappa_{e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)}\right\}$ is a manifold chart for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda}$. Noting that $\operatorname{Im}[e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)] = \operatorname{Im}(\phi_x \circ \Phi) \leq \operatorname{Im}\phi_x$ so that $V_x^{\langle\phi_x\rangle} \subseteq V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)\rangle}$, we have that

$$V_x^{\langle\phi_x\rangle} \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\phi_x}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{e_{\Phi 0}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\kappa_{e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)}^{-1}} V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)\rangle} \tag{3.3}$$

is simply the embedding of $V_x^{\langle\phi_x\rangle}$ into $V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)\rangle}$ as a subset and hence smooth; in particular, $e_{\Phi 0}$ is an immersion. Therefore, $e_{\Phi 0}$ is a smooth, $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant map of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ into $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda}$, so that e_Φ is a Lie groupoid homomorphism.

□

We restrict our attention to the case of an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$. Using the identification of the multi-sectors with the Γ-sectors corresponding to free groups given in [11, Proposition 3.7], note that the maps $e_{i_1,\ldots,i_l}$ and I defined in [1, page 80] are special cases of the construction above. In particular, let the free group $\mathbb{F}_k$ have generators $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_k$, and pick $\{i_1,\ldots i_l\}\subseteq\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$. Define the homomorphism $\Phi_{i_1,\ldots,i_l}:\mathbb{F}_l\to\mathbb{F}_k$ by $\gamma_1\mapsto\gamma_{i_1}$, and then $e_{i_1,\ldots,i_l}=e_{\Phi_{i_1,\ldots,i_l}}$. Similarly, let $\Phi_I:\Gamma\to\Gamma$ be the isomorphism $\Phi_I(\gamma)=\gamma^{-1}$. Then $e_{\Phi_I}=I$.

The homomorphism e_Φ induces a well-defined map $|e_\Phi|:|\mathcal{G}^\Gamma|\to|\mathcal{G}^\Lambda|$ on orbit spaces. Letting (1) denote the $\approx$-class of the trivial homomorphism from Γ to a unit in $\mathcal{G}$, $\tilde{Q}_{(1)}$ is obviously diffeomorphic to Q. Recall that the map $\pi:\tilde{Q}_\Gamma\to Q$ was defined in [11] by $\pi(\mathcal{G}\phi_x)=\mathcal{G}x$. Letting $\iota:\{1\}\to\Gamma$, we see that $\pi=|e_\iota|$ up to the identification of $\tilde{Q}_{(1)}$ with Q.

In [11] following Lemma 3.6, it was stated that the map π is not an embedding of the Γ-sectors of Q into Q. By this, it was meant that the restriction of π to a Γ-sector is not generally injective unless the local groups of Q are abelian. Using the definition [1, Definition 2.3] of embeddings of orbifold groupoids, the restriction of this map to a Γ-sector is in fact an embedding.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an orbifold groupoid and Γ a finitely generated discrete group. Let $(\phi)\in T_Q^\Gamma$ and $\iota:\{1\}\to\Gamma$, and then the restriction of the map e_ι to (ϕ) is an embedding of orbifold groupoids.*

Proof. Let $x\in G_0$. Then $e_\iota^{-1}(x)\cap(\phi)$ is precisely the G_x-conjugacy class of ϕ_x. The number of such conjugacy classes is given by the index of $C_{G_x}(\phi_x)$ in G_x, and so for each orbifold chart $\{V_x,G_x,\pi_x\}$ for Q at x, we have that $e_\iota^{-1}(V_x)\cap(\phi)$ is given by

$$\coprod_{\psi_x\in(\phi_x)_{G_x}} V_x^{\langle\psi_x\rangle}=G_x/C_{G_x}(\phi_x)\times V_x^{\langle\psi_x\rangle},$$

where $(\phi_x)_{G_x}$ denotes the G_x-conjugacy class of ϕ_x. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}^\Gamma_{e_\iota^{-1}(V_x)}$ is given by

$$\coprod_{\psi_x\in(\phi_x)_{G_x}} C_{G_x}(\phi_x)\ltimes V_x^{\langle\psi_x\rangle}=G_x\ltimes\left(G_x/C_{G_x}(\phi_x)\times V_x^{\langle\psi_x\rangle}\right).$$

As $|e_\iota|=\pi$ is clearly proper, it follows that the restriction of e_ι to each Γ-sector is an embedding.

□

Hence, each Γ sector of Q is a suborbifold. We also have the following.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid, let Λ and Γ be finitely generated discrete groups, and let $\Phi:\Lambda\to\Gamma$ be a group homomorphism. If Φ maps Λ into Γ as a direct factor, then e_Φ is a finite union of embeddings of orbifold groupoids, and the induced map $|e_\Phi|$ on orbit spaces is surjective. In particular, e_Φ is an embedding of each Γ-sector into $\tilde{Q}_\Lambda$. If Φ is an isomorphism, then e_Φ is an isomorphism of orbifold groupoids.*

By virtue of [11, Proposition 3.7], this result generalizes [1, Proposition 4.1].

Proof. Suppose $\Gamma = \Lambda \times \Gamma'$ for some group Γ' and $\Phi : \lambda \mapsto (\lambda, 1)$. For each $\phi_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda}$, $e_{\Phi_0}(\phi_x \times 1) = \phi_x$. It follows that $e_{\Phi 0}$ is surjective on objects and hence on orbit spaces.

The map $e^{-1} : \mathcal{G}^{\Gamma} \to (\mathcal{G}^{\Lambda})^{\Gamma'}$ given in Theorem 3.1 is an isomorphism, and hence $\mathcal{G}^{\Gamma}$ corresponds to the Γ'-sectors of $\mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}$. Moreover, the map $\pi : (\mathcal{G}^{\Lambda})^{\Gamma'} \to \mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}$ clearly satisfies $\pi \circ e^{-1} = e_{\Phi}$. By Lemma 3.4, then, the restriction of e_{Φ} to each Γ-sector is an embedding.

If e_{Φ} is an isomorphism, then clearly e_{Φ} and $e_{\Phi^{-1}}$ are inverse groupoid homomorphisms.

□

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid, let Λ and Γ be finitely generated discrete groups, and let $\Phi : \Lambda \to \Gamma$ be a group homomorphism. If Φ is surjective, then e_{Φ} is an embedding of $\mathcal{G}^{\Gamma}$ into $\mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}$ whose image consists of entire connected components.*

Proof. Suppose Φ is surjective. Then for each $\phi_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$, $\operatorname{Im} \phi_x = \operatorname{Im} e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)$ as subgroups of G_x. Therefore, given a linear chart $\{V_x, G_x, \pi_x\}$ for Q at x, $V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle} = V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x) \rangle}$, and $C_{G_x}(\phi_x) = C_{G_x}(e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x))$. Moreover, if $\phi_x, \phi'_y \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ satisfy $e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x) = e_{\Phi 0}(\phi'_y)$, then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have $\phi_x(\Phi(\lambda)) = \phi'_y(\Phi(\lambda))$. It clearly follows that $x = y$, and as Φ is surjective, $\phi_x = \phi'_y$. Therefore, $e_{\Phi 0}$ is injective.

By Equation 3.3, $e_{\Phi 0}$ is locally given by the embedding of $V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle}$ into $V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x) \rangle}$. As $V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle} = V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x) \rangle}$, this map is a submersion. Moreover, we have that the restriction $\mathcal{G}^{\Gamma}|_{V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle}}$ is given by $C_{G_x}(\phi_x) \ltimes V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle}$, while the restriction $\mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}|_{V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x) \rangle}}$ is given by $C_{G_x}(e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x)) \ltimes V_x^{\langle e_{\Phi 0}(\phi_x) \rangle} = C_{G_x}(\phi_x) \ltimes V_x^{\langle \phi_x \rangle}$. The maps $e_{\Phi 0}$ and $e_{\Phi 1}$ are simply the identity maps. We see that e_{Φ} restricts in each chart to an isomorphism of groupoids. As $e_{\Phi 0}$ is an injective immersion, the induced map $|e_{\Phi}|$ on orbit spaces is clearly proper. It follows that e_{Φ} is an embedding of orbifold groupoids.

To show that the image of $|e_{\Phi}|$ consists of entire connected components, suppose $\phi_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Gamma}$ and $\psi_y \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda}$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\phi_x \circ \Phi)$ and $\mathcal{G}\psi_y$ are elements of the same connected component of $\tilde{Q}_{\Lambda}$. By [11, page 9], it follows that $\phi_x \circ \Phi \approx \psi_y$ as elements of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda}$, so that $\phi_x \circ \Phi$ and ψ_y are connected by a sequence of local equivalences in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Lambda}$. Whenever $\psi_y \overset{loc}{\curvearrowright} \psi_{y'}$, there is a $g \in G_1$ such that $g[\xi_x^y \circ \psi_{y'}(\lambda)]g^{-1} = \psi_y(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Im} \psi_y$ and $\operatorname{Im} \psi_{y'}$ are isomorphic subgroups of G_y and $G_{y'}$, respectively. Hence, there exists a $\phi_y : \Gamma \to G_y$ such that $\phi_y \circ \Phi = \psi_y$ if and only if there exists a $\phi_{y'} : \Gamma \to G_{y'}$ such that $\phi_{y'} \circ \Phi = \psi_{y'}$. As this is true for each of the local equivalences connecting $\phi_x \circ \Phi$ to ψ_y (regardless of their direction), it follows that there is a ϕ_y such that $\phi_y \circ \Phi = \psi_y$, and so ψ_y is in the image of $e_{\Phi 0}$. It follows that $|e_{\Phi}|$ maps connected components to connected components.

□

It follows that when Φ is surjective, e_{Φ} embeds $\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma}$ into $\tilde{Q}_{\Lambda}$ as a suborbifold consisting entirely of Λ-sectors of Q. In particular, each Γ-sector of Q is diffeomorphic to a Λ-sector via $|e_{\Phi}|$.

4. The Γ-Euler-Satake Characteristics

Throughout this section, we assume that Q is a closed orbifold presented by the orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$.

4.1. **Definitions and Relations to Other Orbifold Euler Characteristics.** We begin with the following.

Definition 4.1. *Let* Q *be closed and* Γ *a finitely generated discrete group. Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q) &= \sum_{(\phi)\in T_Q^\Gamma} \chi_{ES}\left(\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}\right)\\ &= \chi_{ES}\left(\tilde{Q}_\Gamma\right)\end{aligned}$$

denote the Γ**-Euler-Satake characteristic***, the Euler-Satake characteristic of the space of* Γ*-sectors of* Q *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\Gamma^{top}(Q) &= \sum_{(\phi)\in T_Q^\Gamma} \chi_{top}\left(\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}\right)\\ &= \chi_{top}\left(\tilde{Q}_\Gamma\right)\end{aligned}$$

the Γ**-Euler characteristic***, the usual Euler characteristic of the (underlying space of the) space of* Γ*-sectors of* Q*. Note that both sums are finite when* Γ *is finitely generated by* [11, Lemma 2.9].

By virtue of the results in [12], these definitions generalize those given in [27] and [28] for global quotients. In the case that Q is oriented (and in particular satisfies the codimension-2 requirement), $\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q)$ is the evaluation of the Γ-Euler-Satake class of Q (see [11, Section 2.3]).

The following is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 4.2. *Let* Q *be a closed orbifold and* Γ_1 *and* Γ_2 *finitely generated discrete groups. Then*

$$\chi_{\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2}^{ES}(Q) = \chi_{\Gamma_1}^{ES}(\tilde{Q}_{\Gamma_2}). \tag{4.1}$$

We also have the following.

Corollary 4.3. *Let* Q_1 *and* Q_2 *be closed orbifolds and* Γ *a finitely generated discrete group. Then*

$$\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q_1\times Q_2) = \chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q_1)\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q_2). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q_1\times Q_2) &= \chi_{ES}\left(\widetilde{(Q_1)}_\Gamma\times\widetilde{(Q_2)}_\Gamma\right)\\ &= \chi_{ES}\left(\widetilde{(Q_1)}_\Gamma\right)\chi_{ES}\left(\widetilde{(Q_2)}_\Gamma\right) \qquad (4.3)\\ &= \chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q_1)\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q_2),\end{aligned}$$

where the first equation follows from Proposition 3.2 and the second from Theorem 2.4.

□

Applying these results to the case of quotient orbifolds, we obtain the following generalization of [27, Proposition 2-1].

Corollary 4.4. *For $i = 1, 2$, let G_i be a compact, connected Lie group and M_i a smooth, compact manifold on which G_i acts smoothly and locally freely. Then for each finitely generated discrete group Γ,*

$$\chi_\Gamma^{ES}((M_1 \times M_2) \rtimes (G_1 \times G_2)) = \chi_\Gamma^{ES}(M_1 \rtimes G_1)\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(M_2 \rtimes G_2)$$

and

$$\chi_\Gamma^{top}((M_1 \times M_2) \rtimes (G_1 \times G_2)) = \chi_\Gamma^{top}(M_1 \rtimes G_1)\chi_\Gamma^{top}(M_2 \rtimes G_2).$$

If G is a compact, connected Lie group, M is a smooth, compact G-manifold, and Γ_i is a finitely generated discrete group for $i = 1, 2$, then

$$\chi_{\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2}^{ES}(M \rtimes G) = \sum_{(\phi)\in HOM(\Gamma_1,G)/G} \chi_{\Gamma_2}^{ES}\left(M^{\langle\phi\rangle} \rtimes C_G(\phi)\right),$$

and

$$\chi_{\Gamma_1\times\Gamma_2}^{top}(M \rtimes G) = \sum_{(\phi)\in HOM(\Gamma_1,G)/G} \chi_{\Gamma_2}^{top}\left(M^{\langle\phi\rangle} \rtimes C_G(\phi)\right).$$

In the proof of [25, Theorem 3.2], it was shown that $\chi_{ES}(\tilde{Q}) = \chi_{top}(Q)$, where $\tilde{Q}$ denotes the inertia orbifold (see [2, Definition 2.49]). Note that this proof does not require the codimension-2 condition nor the hypotheses that Q is effective or orientable. In [12, Corollary 3.8], it is shown that the inertia orbifold corresponds to the $\mathbb{Z}$-sectors. Hence, we have the relationship

$$\chi_{top}(Q) = \chi_\mathbb{Z}^{ES}(Q) = \chi_{ES}(\tilde{Q}). \tag{4.4}$$

More generally,

$$\chi_\Gamma^{top}(Q) = \chi_{\Gamma\times\mathbb{Z}}^{ES}(Q). \tag{4.5}$$

If Q is a manifold, then the Γ-sectors of Q are simply Q so that $\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q) = \chi_{top}(Q)$ reduces to the usual Euler characteristic for any Γ. In fact, the Γ-Euler-Satake characteristic generalizes several Euler characteristics for orbifolds.

- When $\Gamma = \{1\}$, $\tilde{Q}_\Gamma = Q$ so that $\chi_\Gamma^{top}(Q)$ is the usual Euler characteristic of the underlying topological space and $\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q)$ is the usual Euler-Satake characteristic.
- When $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and Q is a global quotient, $\chi_\Gamma^{top}(Q)$ is the dth and $\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q)$ is the $d-1$st orbifold Euler characteristic defined in [6]. This is seen by repeated application of Equations 4.1 and 4.4. By Theorem 3.1 and the fact that the $\mathbb{Z}$-sectors correspond to the inertia orbifold, this implies that the Euler characteristics of Bryan and Fulman are the Euler characteristics and Euler-Satake characteristics of the dth (respectively $d-1$st) inertia orbifold of Q.
- When $Q = M/G$ is a global quotient orbifold, $\chi_\Gamma^{top}(Q)$ and $\chi_\Gamma^{ES}(Q)$ are the generalized orbifold Euler characteristics defined in [27] and [28] (where they are denoted $\chi_\Gamma(M; G)$ and $\chi_\Gamma^{orb}(M; G)$, respectively). This follows from [12, Theorem 3.1].
- We have that $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^2}^{ES}(Q) = \chi_\mathbb{Z}^{top}(Q)$ is the stringy orbifold Euler characteristic defined in [9] for global quotients and generalized to general orbifolds in [22]. Note that this Euler characteristic was shown to be the Euler characteristic of equivariant K-theory in [26] for quotients and for orbifold K-theory in [2] for quotient orbifolds; see also [13]. It follows that for each $d \geq 2$,

$\chi^{ES}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(Q) = \chi^{top}_{\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}(Q)$ is the Euler characteristic of the orbifold K-theory of $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^{d-2}}$.

4.2. **The Vanishing of the Γ-Euler-Satake Characteristics.** In [11], it was demonstrated that the Euler-Satake characteristics of the individual Γ-sectors act as a complete obstruction to the existence of a nonvanishing vector field on closed codimension-2 orbifolds when Γ covers the local groups of Q. Recall that this means that for each subgroup H of an isotropy group of Q, there is a surjective homomorphism from Γ onto G. Note that all of the Γ-Euler-Satake characteristics may vanish even in the case that the Euler-Satake characteristics of the individual sectors do not.

Example 4.5. Let Q be the orbifold described as follows. The underlying space of Q is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^4$. The singular strata of Q consists of two connected components, one diffeomorphic to S^2 and one diffeomorphic to the surface Σ_2 of genus 2, both modeled on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^2/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$ where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts as rotations. Let a generate $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ in a chart for the component diffeomorphic to S^2, and let b generate $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ in a chart for the component diffeomorphic to Σ_2; clearly, the ≈-classes of a and b, respectively, do not depend on the choice of chart. Then it is easy to see that $\chi_{ES}(Q) = 0$. Moreover, note that for any finitely generated discrete Γ, there is a bijection between $\mathrm{HOM}(\Gamma, \langle a\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{HOM}(\Gamma, \langle b\rangle)$, implying that there is a k such that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{ES}_{\Gamma}(Q) &= \chi_{ES}(Q) + k\chi_{ES}(S^2 \rtimes \langle a\rangle) + k\chi_{ES}(S^2 \rtimes \langle b\rangle) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, for any Γ-sector corresponding to a homomorphism with image $\langle a\rangle$ or $\langle b\rangle$, the Euler-Satake characteristic of the corresponding Γ-sector is 1 or −1, respectively. Hence, it is possible that each Γ-Euler-Satake characteristic vanishes even though the Euler-Satake characteristic of the individual Γ-sectors do not.

Here, we briefly note some consequences of the vanishing of the Euler-Satake characteristic of the individual Γ-sectors of a closed orbifold Q. First, we have the following.

Proposition 4.6. *Let Q be a closed orbifold presented by the orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ and suppose Γ covers the local groups of Q. Then for each finitely generated discrete group Λ and each $(\phi) \in T^{\Lambda}_{Q}$, there is a $(\psi) \in T^{\Gamma}_{Q}$ such that $\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}$ is orbifold-diffeomorphic to $\tilde{Q}_{(\psi)}$.*

Proof. Pick a representative ϕ_x of (ϕ), and as Γ covers the local groups of Q, there is a $\psi_x : \Gamma \to G_x$ such that $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi_x = \mathrm{Im}\,\phi_x$. Let (ψ) denote the ≈-class of ψ_x, and then it follows directly from the definitions that there is a diffeomorphism between (ϕ) and (ψ). It follows that $\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}$ and $\tilde{Q}_{(\psi)}$ are diffeomorphic.

□

Proposition 4.7. *Let Q be a closed orbifold and Γ a finitely generated discrete group that covers the local groups of Q. Suppose the Euler-Satake characteristic of each Γ-sector is zero. Then the topological Euler characteristic of (the underlying space of) each Γ-sector is zero.*

Proof. Suppose the Euler-Satake characteristic of each Γ-sector is zero, and let $(\phi) \in T_Q^\Gamma$. Then

$$\chi_{top}(\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}) = \chi_{ES}\left(\widetilde{\left(\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}\right)_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right).$$

Note that $\widetilde{\left(\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}\right)_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is a disjoint union of $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$-sectors of Q, and by Proposition 4.6, each is diffeomorphic to a Γ-sector. It follows that each has Euler-Satake characteristic zero, so that $\chi_{top}(\tilde{Q}_{(\phi)}) = 0$.

□

The following is a consequence of Propositions 4.6 and 4.7.

Corollary 4.8. *Let Q be a closed orbifold, and let Γ be a finitely generated discrete group that covers the local groups of Q. Suppose Γ admits a presentation with m generators. Then the following are equivalent.*

- *i. The Euler-Satake characteristic of each Γ-sector is 0.*
- *ii. For any finitely generated discrete group Γ' that covers the local groups of Q, the Euler-Satake characteristic of each Γ'-sector is zero.*
- *iii. For each $d \geq m$, the Euler-Satake characteristic of each $\mathbb{F}_d$-sector is 0.*

Moreover if any one (hence all) of the above equivalent statements is true, then the following hold.

1. *For any finitely generated discrete group Λ, the Euler-Satake characteristic of each Λ-sector is zero.*
2. *For any finitely generated discrete group Γ' that covers the local groups of Q, the topological Euler characteristic of (the underlying space of) each Γ'-sector is 0.*
3. *For each $d \geq m$, the topological Euler characteristic of (the underlying space of) each $\mathbb{F}_d$-sector is 0.*

5. Formulas for Wreath Products

In this section, we use the properties of wreath symmetric products and the Γ-Euler-Satake characteristics demonstrated above to derive formulas for $\mathbb{Z}^m$-Euler-Satake characteristics of orbifolds presented as wreath symmetric products by compact Lie groups.

5.1. The Euler-Satake Characteristic of a Wreath Symmetric Product.

Here, we derive the following formula for the Euler-Satake characteristic of a wreath symmetric product $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ as defined in Subsection 2.5.

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and M a smooth, compact manifold on which G acts smoothly and locally freely. Then*

$$\chi_{ES}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) = \frac{1}{n!}\left(\chi_{ES}(Q)\right)^n.$$

Proof. First, we show that the natural map $G^n x \mapsto G(\mathcal{S}_n)x$ from the orbifold presented by $M^n \rtimes G^n$ to the orbifold $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is an orbifold cover of with $n!$ sheets.

Assume that G acts effectively on M. Let $p \in MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ be the orbit of a point $x \in M^n$. By conjugating by elements of $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$, we can assume that x is of the form

$$x = \left(\overbrace{x_1, x_1, \dots, x_1}^{r_1}, \ \overbrace{x_2, x_2, \dots x_2}^{r_2}, \ \dots, \ \overbrace{x_N, x_N, \dots, x_N}^{r_N} \right)$$

with each x_j distinct, so that $\sum_{j=1}^N r_j = n$.

By Proposition 2.10, the isotropy group of p with respect to the $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$-action is

$$(G(\mathcal{S}_n))_p \cong \prod_{j=1}^N G_{x_j}(\mathcal{S}_{r_j}).$$

Similarly, it is easy to see that the isotropy group of p with respect to the G^n-action is

$$(G^n)_p = \prod_{j=1}^N (G_{x_j})^{r_j}.$$

Note that $(G^n)_p$ is a normal subgroup of $G(\mathcal{S}_n)_p$, and

$$(G(\mathcal{S}_n))_p/(G^n)_p \cong \prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{S}_{r_j}.$$

For each j, choose a linear slice U_{x_j} of the G-action on M centered at x_j, and let

$$W_p = \prod_{j=1}^N (U_{x_j})^{r_j}.$$

Then W_p is a linear slice at x for both the G^n and $G(\mathcal{S}_n)$-action on M^n. Moreover,

$$W_p \times_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)_p} G(\mathcal{S}_n) \cong \left(W_p \times_{(G^n)_p} G^n\right) \times \mathcal{S}_n / \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{S}_{r_j} \right),$$

so that each point in $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is the image of $\left| \mathcal{S}_n / \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{S}_{r_j} \right) \right|$ points in $|M^n \rtimes G^n|$. In particular, if x is nonsingular so that $G_x = \{1\}$ and each of the x_j are distinct, then there are $|\mathcal{S}_n| = n!$ points in $|M^n \rtimes G^n|$. It follows that $M^n \rtimes G^n$ presents an $n!$-sheeted cover of the orbifold presented by $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$.

If G does not act effectively on M, then let $K \trianglelefteq G$ denotes the kernel of the action. We apply the above argument to the effective orbifolds presented by $G^n \rtimes K^n$ and $M(G/K)(\mathcal{S}_n)$. The kernel of the action in in $M^n \rtimes G^n$ and $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is K^n, and so the result is the same.

Now, note that $M^n \rtimes G^n = (M \rtimes G)^n$ so that by Theorem 2.4, $\chi_{ES}(M^n \rtimes G^n) = (\chi_{ES}(Q))^n$. Then the result follows from Lemma 2.2.

□

5.2. **Generalizations of MacDonald's Formulas.** In this section, we prove Theorem 5.2 which generalizes MacDonald's formulas in [16] and [33, Theorem 5] to the context of an orbifold given by a quotient by a compact, connected Lie group acting smoothly and locally freely. This formula will serve as the base case in the induction proof of Theorem 5.5.

We again assume that G acts effectively for simplicity. When this is not the case, we can always apply these results to the associated effective orbifold as in the proof of Proposition 5.1. Then Equation 2.2 can be used to address the the noneffective case.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group acting smoothly, effectively, and almost freely on the closed manifold M. Let Q denote the orbifold presented by $M \rtimes G$ and $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ denote the wreath symmetric product.*

(1) *The cohomology $H^*(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))$ satisfies the MacDonald formulas*

$$H^*(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) \cong \mathcal{SP}^n[H^*(Q)]$$

and

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} dim\,[H^*(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))]q^n = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{dim\,[H^*(Q)]}}$$

where $\mathcal{SP}$ denotes the symmetric product and and $dim\,[W] = |dim\,[W^{ev}] \otimes \mathbb{C}| - |dim\,[W^{od}] \otimes \mathbb{C}|$ denotes the Euler characteristic of the complex W.

(2) *The delocalized equivariant cohomology $H^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))$ satisfies the MacDonald formula*

$$\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))q^n \cong \mathcal{SP}\left[\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^*\left(\widetilde{(M/G)}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) q^n\right].$$

As well, we have the dimension formula

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} dim\,[H^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))]q^n = \prod_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{(1-q^n)^{dim\,(H^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(M\rtimes G))}}.$$

Proof. See [33, Section 6], [38], and [27, Section 4].

To prove (1), by Equation 2.8 and the definition of symmetric algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) &\cong H^*(M^n)^{G^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n} \\ &\cong \mathcal{SP}^n[H^*(Q)]. \end{aligned}$$

The dimension formula follows from the general fact that if $V = \bigoplus_p V^p$ is a general graded vector space,

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \dim\,[\mathcal{SP}[V]]q^n = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{\dim\,[V]}}.$$

To prove (2), we compute the MacDonald formula for the delocalized equivariant cohomology of $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))q^n &= \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} q^n H^*\left(\widetilde{(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} q^n \bigoplus_{(a)\in t_{M^n;G(\mathcal{S}_n)}} H^*\left((M^n)^{\langle a\rangle} \rtimes (C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(a))\right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} q^n \bigoplus_{m_r(c)} \bigotimes_{c,r} H^*\left((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes ((C_G(c)\langle a_{r,c}\rangle)^{m_r(c)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)})\right). \end{aligned}$$

where the sum over $m_r(c)$ is over all of the $m_r(c) > 0$ for $(c) \in t_{M;G}$ and $r = 1, \dots, n$ subject to the constraint $\sum_{r,c} r m_r(c) = n$. By Equation 2.8, this is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \bigoplus_{m_r(c)} \bigotimes_{c,r} q^{r m_r(c)} \mathcal{SP}^{m_r(c)} \left[H^* \left(M^{\langle c \rangle} \rtimes (C_G(c)) \right) \right].$$

Recall that $m_r = \sum_c m_r(c)$, and then this is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \bigoplus_{m_r} \mathcal{SP}^{m_r} \left[\bigoplus_r H^* \left(\widetilde{(M \rtimes G)}_{\mathbb{Z}} \right) q^r \right],$$

where the sum over m_r is the sum over all of the $m_r > 0$ such that $\sum_r r m_r = n$. Therefore, if we assume $r \geq 1$ and $m_r \geq 1$ with no other constraint, this is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{m_r} \mathcal{SP}^{m_r} \left[\bigoplus_r H^* \left(\widetilde{(M \rtimes G)}_{\mathbb{Z}} \right) q^r \right].$$

By the definition of the symmetric product, this last expression is equal to

$$\mathcal{SP} \left[\bigoplus_r H^* \left(\widetilde{(M \rtimes G)}_{\mathbb{Z}} \right) q^r \right].$$

Note that this formula only holds for $r, m_r = 1, 2, \dots$, and not for $r, m_r = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

For the dimension formula, we apply [35, Lemma 3.1] to

$$\mathcal{SP} \left[\bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}} H^* \left(\widetilde{(M \rtimes G)}_{\mathbb{Z}} \right) q^r \right] \cong \bigotimes_{r\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{SP} \left[H^* \left(\widetilde{(M \rtimes G)}_{\mathbb{Z}} \right) q^r \right].$$

□

The K-theory of the crossed product algebra $C(M) \rtimes G$ is isomorphic to the equivariant K-theory of M (see e.g. [5]); i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} K^i(C^*(M \rtimes G)) &\cong K^i(C(M) \rtimes G) \\ &= K^i_G(C(M)) \\ &= K_i^G(M) \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. For an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, recall from Section 4.1 that $\chi^{ES}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(Q)$ coincides with the stringy orbifold Euler characteristic and the Euler characteristic of the orbifold K-theory of $\mathcal{G}$; i.e.

$$\chi^{ES}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(Q) = \dim K^0(C^*(\mathcal{G})) \otimes \mathbb{C} - \dim K^1(C^*(\mathcal{G})) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

(see [1, Definition 3.8]). Moreover, in the case of a quotient orbifold $\mathcal{G} = M \rtimes G$, the (complexified) K-theory is isomorphic to the delocalized cohomology. Hence, we have the following.

Corollary 5.3. *With M and G as in Theorem 5.2,*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \chi^{ES}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) q^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} dim\, [K^*(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))] q^n = \prod_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{(1-q^n)^{dim\, (K^*(M \rtimes G))}}.$$

5.3. **Wreath Symmetric Products and Product Formulas.** In this section, we prove a product formula for the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-Euler characteristics that extends [27, Theorem A] to the case of a quotient by a compact, connected group. For simplicity, we abreviate $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^m}^{top}$ as $\chi_{(m)}$ and $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^m}^{ES}$ as $\chi_{(m)}^{ES}$.

The following follows [27, Lemma 4-1].

Lemma 5.4. *Let L be a compact Lie group, K a closed connected subgroup, and suppose there is an $a \in L$ such that $\langle a \rangle \cap K = \langle a^r \rangle$ and $a \in C_L(K)$. Let M be a K-manifold on which K acts smoothly, effectively, and locally freely, and suppose a acts trivially on M. Then for each $m \geq 0$,*

$$\chi_{(m)}(M \rtimes K\langle a \rangle) = r^m \chi_{(m)}(M \rtimes K).$$

Proof. Note that $K\langle a \rangle = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} Sa^i$. The case $m = 0$ is obvious, so suppose $m \geq 1$.

By definition,

$$\chi_{(m)}(M \rtimes K\langle a \rangle) = \sum_{(\phi) \in t_{M;K\langle a \rangle}^{\mathbb{Z}^m}} \chi_{top}\left(M^{\langle \phi \rangle} \rtimes C_{K\langle a \rangle}(\phi)\right).$$

It is straightforward that the centralizer of ϕ in $K\langle a \rangle$ is equal to $C_K(\phi)C_{\langle a \rangle}(\phi)$. Since $C_{\langle a \rangle}(\phi)$ is a subgroup of $\langle a \rangle$, it acts trivially on M. It follows that for each $(\phi) \in t_{M;K\langle a \rangle}^{\mathbb{Z}^m}$,

$$\chi_{top}\left(M^{\langle \phi \rangle} \rtimes C_{K\langle a \rangle}(\phi)\right) = \chi_{top}\left(M^{\langle \phi \rangle} \rtimes C_K(\phi)\right).$$

The image of ϕ is a set of m commuting elements of $S\langle a \rangle$. Note that for $g, h \in K$, ga^i and ha^j commute if and only if g and h commute. Moreover, for each commuting m-tuple $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ of elements of K, there are r^m corresponding m-tuples $g_1 a^{i_1}, \ldots, g_m a^{i_m}$ of commuting elements of $K\langle a \rangle$. It follows that

$$\chi_{(m)}(M \rtimes K\langle a \rangle) = r^m \sum_{(\phi) \in t_{M;K\langle a \rangle}^{\mathbb{Z}^m}} \chi_{top}\left(M^{\langle \phi \rangle} \rtimes C_K(\phi)\right),$$

finishing the proof. □

Theorem 5.5. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group acting smoothly, effectively, and almost freely on the closed manifold M, and let Q be the orbifold presented by $M \rtimes G$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{ES}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))q^n = \exp\left[q\chi_{ES}(Q)\right]. \tag{5.1}$$

For $m \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{(m)}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))q^n = \prod_{j_1, \ldots, j_m \geq 1} \left[\left(1 - q^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_m}\right)^{j_2 j_3^2 \cdots j_{m-1}^{m-2} j_m^{m-1}}\right]^{-\chi_{(m)}(Q)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Noting that $J_{r,m} = \sum_{j_1 \cdots j_m = r} j_2 j_3^2 \cdots j_{m-1}^{m-2} j_m^{m-1}$ is the number of subgroups of index r in $\mathbb{Z}^m$, Equation 5.2 can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{(m)}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))q^n = \prod_{r \geq 1} \left[(1 - q^r)^{J_{r,m}}\right]^{-\chi_{(m)}(Q)}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Equation 5.1 follows by applying Proposition 5.1. The proof of Equations 5.2 and 5.3 is by induction on m. As $\chi_{(0)}(Q) = \chi_{top}(Q)$ and $\chi_{(1)}(Q) = \chi_{top}(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}})$, the cases $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ of Equation 5.2 corresponds to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{top}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))q^n = (1-q)^{-\chi_{top}(Q)}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{top}\left(\widetilde{MG(\mathcal{S}_n)}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) q^n = \prod_{r\geq 1} (1-q^n)^{-\chi_{top}(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}})},$$

both following directly from Theorem 5.2.

For $m > 1$, we have by applying Proposition 2.8 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \chi_{(m)}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) &= \textstyle\sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \chi_{(m-1)}\left((\widetilde{MG(\mathcal{S}_n)})_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \sum_{((\mathbf{g},s))\in t_{M^n;G(\mathcal{S}_n)}} \chi_{(m-1)}(M^{\langle \mathbf{g},s\rangle} \rtimes C_{G(\mathcal{S}_n)}(\mathbf{g},s)). \\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \chi_{(m-1)}\left(\coprod_{m_r(c)} \prod_r \prod_{(c)} \left((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes ([C_G(c)\langle a_{r,c}\rangle]^{m_r(c)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)})\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the union and products are over all $m_r(c) > 0$ for $(c) \in t_{M;G}$, $r \geq 1$ subject to the constraint that $\sum_{r,c} rm_r(c) = n$, and $(c) \in t_{M;G}$. Applying Corollary 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \chi_{(m)}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) &= \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \sum_{m_r(c)} \prod_r \prod_{(c)} \chi_{(m-1)}\left((M^{\langle c\rangle})^{m_r(c)} \rtimes ([C_G(c)\langle a_{r,c}\rangle]^{m_r(c)} \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{m_r(c)})\right), \\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \sum_{m_r(c)} \prod_r \prod_{(c)} \chi_{(m-1)}\left(\mathcal{SP}^{m_r(c)}(M^{\langle c\rangle}) \rtimes (C_G(c)\langle a_{r,c}\rangle)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the symmetric product of a crossed product groupoid $X = K \rtimes L$ is defined in a similar way to the symmetric product of a vector space; that is, $\mathcal{SP}^m[X] = K^m \rtimes (L^m \rtimes \mathcal{S}_m)$. In other words, the symmetric product is the wreath product.

As in the proof of Theorem 5.2, the above formula implies that if we again set $m_r = \sum_c m_r(c)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \chi_{(m)}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) &= \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \chi_{(m-1)}\left((\widetilde{MG(\mathcal{S}_n)})_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \sum_{m_r} \prod_r \chi_{(m-1)}\left(\mathcal{SP}^{m_r}[\mathbb{IMG}_r]\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where now the sum is over all $m_r > 0$ and $r \geq 1$ such that $\sum_r rm_r = n$, and $\mathcal{SP}^{m_r} = \bigoplus_{(c)} \mathcal{SP}^{m_r(c)}$. Note that the groupoid $\mathbb{IMG}_r$ is defined in Equation 2.10. Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 (2), this is equal to

$$\sum_{m_r} \prod_r \chi_{(m-1)}\left(\mathcal{SP}^{m_r}[\mathbb{IMG}_r q]\right)$$

with no constraints on $m_r \geq 0$. As $\chi_{(m-1)}$ is a multiplicative character, this is equal to

$$\prod_r \sum_{m_r} \chi_{(m-1)}\left(\mathcal{SP}^{m_r}[\mathbb{IMG}_r q]\right).$$

Now, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \chi_{(m)}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) \\ &= \prod_r \left[\prod_{r_1,\ldots,r_{m-1}\geq 1} \left(1-(q^r)^{r_1,\ldots,r_{m-1}}\right)^{r_2 r_3^2 \cdots r_{m-1}^{m-2}} \right]^{-\chi_{(m-1)}(\mathbb{IMG}_r)} .\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

By Lemma 5.4, Theorem 2.9, and Proposition 2.11,

$$\chi_{(m-1)}(\mathbb{IMG}_r) = r^{m-1}\chi_{(m-1)}\left(\widetilde{(M \rtimes G)}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right).$$

Combining this with Equation 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \chi_{(m)}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n)) &= \left[\prod_{r,r_1,\ldots,r_{m-1}\geq 1} \left(1-(q^r)^{r_1,\ldots,r_{m-1}}\right)^{r_2 r_3^2 \cdots r_{m-1}^{m-2}} \right]^{-r^{m-1}\chi^{(m-1)}\left(\widetilde{(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))}\right)} \\ &= \left[\prod_{r,r_1,\ldots,r_{m-1}\geq 1} \left(1-q^{r_1,\ldots,r_{m-1}r}\right)^{r_2 r_3^2 \cdots r_{m-1}^{m-2} r^{m-1}} \right]^{-\chi_{(m)}(Q)} ,\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.

□

An application of Equation 4.5 allows us to express Theorem 5.5 in terms of the $\mathbb{Z}^m$-Euler-Satake characteristics as follows.

Corollary 5.6. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group acting smoothly, effectively, and almost freely on the closed manifold M, and let Q be the orbifold presented by $M \rtimes G$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{(m)}^{ES}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n))q^n = \begin{cases} \exp\left[q\chi_{ES}(Q)\right], & m=0, \\ \\ \prod_{r\geq 1}\left[(1-q^r)^{J_{r,m+1}}\right]^{-\chi_{(m)}^{ES}(Q)}, & m>0. \end{cases}$$

6. Generalized Hodge Numbers

In this section, we will generalize to arbitrary orbifolds a product formula that Wang and Zhou proved for orbifold Hodge numbers of global quotient orbifolds in [35]; see Theorem 6.4. Note that for special choices of the parameters x and y in Theorem 6.4, we obtain interesting geometric invariants; see the note after Definition 6.1.

To derive our product formula for the shifted Hodge numbers, we will use the product formula for the case of the Hodge Poincaré numbers and make the necessary modifications that account for the degree shifting. We assume that G is a compact complex connected Lie group acting effectively, holomorphically, and almost freely on the compact complex manifold M, and Q is the complex orbifold presented by $M \rtimes G$. See Subsection 2.2 for the definition of the orbifold Dolbeault cohomology of $M \rtimes G$. The following definition follows [35, page 157].

Definition 6.1. *Let the compact, complex, connected Lie group G act effectively, locally freely, and holomorphically on the complex manifold M. We define the* standard orbifold Hodge polynomials $H(M \rtimes G; x, y)$ *and* $h(M \rtimes G; x, y)$ *by*

$$H(M \rtimes G; x, y) = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} H^{s,t}(M \rtimes G) x^s y^t$$

and

$$h(M \rtimes G; x, y) = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} \left| dim_{\mathbb{C}}[H^{s,t}(M \rtimes G)] \right| x^s y^t,$$

where $H^{s,t}(M \rtimes G)$ *are the standard Dolbeault cohomology groups of the complex orbifold* $M \rtimes G$*; see Subsection 2.2. We define the* standard delocalized orbifold Hodge polynomials $H_D(M \rtimes G; x, y)$ *and* $h_D(M \rtimes G; x, y)$ *by*

$$H_D(M \rtimes G; x, y) = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} H^{s,t}\left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) x^s y^t,$$

and

$$h_D(M \rtimes G; x, y) = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} \left| dim_{\mathbb{C}}[H^{s,t}\left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \right| x^s y^t.$$

For example, it is well known that the Hirzebruch genus of $M \rtimes G$ is given by $h(M \rtimes G, y, -1)$, the (topological) Euler characteristic by $h(M \rtimes G, -1, -1)$, and the signature by $h(M \rtimes G, 1, -1)$.

In the remaining definitions, we will need to consider the grading shift. In this context, the degree shifting number of [1, Section 4.2] corresponds to that of [37], which we now recall.

Fix $c \in G$, and let $M_1^{\langle c \rangle}, \dots M_{N_c}^{\langle c \rangle}$ be the connected components of $M^{\langle c \rangle}$. We let F_j^c denote the shift number associated to each $M_j^{\langle c \rangle}$, $j = 1, \dots, N_c$, as follows. As c fixes $M_j^{\langle c \rangle}$, the action of c on the tangent space of each point in $M_j^{\langle c \rangle}$ can be represented by a diagonal unitary matrix

$$\mathrm{Diag}\left(e^{2\pi i \theta_1^j}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \theta_{d_j}^j}\right)$$

with d_j the complex dimension of $M_j^{\langle c \rangle}$, $0 < \theta_i^j \leq 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, d_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, N_c$. Define the shift number

$$F_j^c = \sum_{k=1}^{d_j} \theta_k^j \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then for $0 \leq p, q \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q$, the Chen-Ruan Dolbeault cohomology groups are given by

$$H_{CR}^{p,q}(M \rtimes G) = \bigoplus_{(c) \in t_{M;G}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{N_c} H^{p - F_j^c, q - F_j^c}\left(M_j^{\langle c \rangle} \rtimes C_G(c)\right).$$

For the remainder of this section, we restrict to the case of G acting on M such that all shift numbers are integers. The following definitions follow [35, page 156].

Definition 6.2. *Let the compact, complex, connected Lie group* G *act locally freely and holomorphically on the compact, complex manifold* M *and suppose each of* *the shift numbers F_j^c are integers. Define the* shifted delocalized orbifold Hodge polynomials $h_{CR}(M \rtimes G; x, y)$ *by*

$$h_{CR}(M \rtimes G; x, y) = \sum_{s,t \geq 0} \left| dim \left[H^{s,t}_{CR}(M \rtimes G) \right| x^s y^t.$$

Also define the shifted delocalized orbifold Hodge numbers $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M \rtimes G)$ *of* $M \rtimes G$ *for* $0 \leq p, q \leq dim_{\mathbb{C}}\, Q$ *by*

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M \rtimes G) = \sum_{(c) \in t_{M;G}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} h_j^{p - F_j^c, q - F_j^c}(c),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_j^{p,q}(c) &= dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left[H^{p,q}\left(M_j^{\langle c \rangle} \rtimes C_G(c) \right) \right] \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left[H^{p,q}\left(M_j^{\langle c \rangle} \rtimes C_G(c) \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, N_g$, $p, q \geq 0$.

Abstractly, given a bigraded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{s,t \geq 0} V^{s,t}$, we can define a new grading on V by using any integer shifts. Clearly, this shift will be reflected at the dimension level, thus giving rise to formulas similar to those in [38, page 5]. In particular, we will use the formal notation $V\{\{K\}\}$ for $\bigoplus_{s,t \geq 0} V^{s-K, t-K}$.

To derive our product formula, we will use the following, which follows from general results on graded vector spaces (see [35, page 163]). In particular, the proof follows that of Theorem 5.2 (2).

Proposition 6.3. *Let N be a compact, complex manifold and let K be a compact complex, connected Lie group acting effectively, holomorphically, and locally freely on N with integer shifts.*

(1) *The standard delocalized orbifold Hodge polynomials H_D satisfy*

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_D(N^n \rtimes (K^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n); x, y) q^n = \mathcal{SP}\left[\bigoplus_{r > 0} H_D(N \rtimes K; x, y) q^r \right],$$

where $\mathcal{SP}$ again denotes the symmetric product algebra.

(2) *The standard delocalized orbifold Hodge polynomials h_D satisfy*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} h_D(N^n \rtimes (K^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n); x, y) q^n = \prod_{n,s,t} \frac{1}{(1 - x^s y^t q^n)^{h_D^{s,t}(N \rtimes K)}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_D^{s,t}(N \rtimes K) &= dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(H_D^{s,t}(N \rtimes K) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{s+t} | dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H_D^{s,t}(N \rtimes K)|, \end{aligned}$$

for $s, t \geq 0$, and where

$$h_D(N \rtimes K) = \sum_{s,t} h_D^{s,t}(N \rtimes K).$$

The following is the main result of this section. For the case of G finite, see [35, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 6.4. *Let M be a compact, complex manifold on which the compact, complex, connected Lie group G acts effectively, holomorphically, and locally freely with integer shifts. The shifted delocalized orbifold Hodge polynomials satisfy*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} h_{CR}(MG(\mathcal{S}_n); -x, -y)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{s,t\geq 0} \frac{1}{(1 - x^s y^t q^n (xy)^{(r-1)d/2})^{(-1)^{s+t} h^{s,t}_{CR}(M\rtimes G)}}.$$

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 6.3 adjusted for the appropriate degree shift as in [38, page 5], together with Lemma 6.5 below.

□

Lemma 6.5. *Let M be a compact, complex manifold on which the compact, complex, connected Lie group G acts effectively, holomorphically, and almost freely with integer shifts. Then the degree shifts of the wreath product orbifold $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$ are given by*

$$F_\rho = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{(c)\in t_{M;G}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} m_{r,(c)}(j) \left(F_j^c + d\frac{r-1}{2} \right),$$

where $\rho = \{m_r(c)\}_{r\geq 1,(c)\in t_{M;G}}$, $m_r(c) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} m_{r,(c)}(j)$, and $\sum_{r,(c)} r m_r(c) = n$.

Proof. By using the results of Subsection 2.4, we will compute the shifts for the wreath product orbifold $NK(\mathcal{S}_n)$ presented by $N^n \rtimes (K^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n)$ in terms of the shifts of the orbifold presented by $N \rtimes K$. This yields the local situation on $MG(\mathcal{S}_n)$. By Proposition 2.6, it is enough to consider an element W of $NK(\mathcal{S}_n)$ of the form $W = ((g, 1, \dots, 1), (12 \dots n))$, with $g \in K$. By direct calculation, a fixed point in N^n of the action of W is of type $(x, \dots, x)$, where $x \in N^{\langle g \rangle}$. Since the calculation can be made locally, we assume that we have local coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_d)$ near $x \in N^{\langle g \rangle}$ with the action of K given by

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_d) = (e^{2\pi i\theta_1} z_1, \dots, e^{2\pi i\theta_d} z_d),$$

with $\theta_j \in [0, 1)$ and $j = 1, \dots d$. Hence $g \in K$ is locally given by

$$\mathrm{Diag}\left(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i\theta_d}\right),$$

where we can assume that $\theta_{r+1}, \dots, \theta_d = 0$. Then near $(x, \dots, x) \in N^n$, $x \in N^{\langle g \rangle}$, W is given by a block–diagonal matrix with blocks of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{2\pi i\theta_j} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the characteristic polynomial of the above matrix is

$$\lambda^n - e^{2\pi i\theta_j},$$

and so its eigenvalues are given by

$$e^{2\pi i\frac{(\theta_j+k)}{n}}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$.

It follows that the shift $F^W(x,\ldots,x)$ at $(x,\ldots,x)$ for the component of $(N^n)^W$ containing $(x,\ldots,x)$, $x \in N$, is given by

$$F^W(x,\ldots,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{r}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(\theta_j + k)}{n} + (d-r)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{k}{n},$$

where the first sum represents the terms arising from the eigenvalues $\neq 1$, and the second from the eigenvalues $= 1$. Using elementary algebra, the previous equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F^W(x,\ldots,x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{r}\tfrac{\theta_j}{n} + d\,\tfrac{n-1}{2} \\ &= F_j^c + d\tfrac{n-1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where F_j^c is the shift associated to the component $M_j^{\langle c\rangle}$ of the fixed set $N^{\langle g\rangle}$ for the action of $C_K(c)$.

Now consider a general conjugacy class containing an element of type $\rho = \{m_r(c)\}_{r\geq 1,(c)\in t_{N;K}}$, where $\sum_{r,(c)} r m_r(c) = n$. The description of the fixed set $(M^n)^{\langle a\rangle}$ given in Proposition 2.10 implies that the ρ-component can be written as

$$(M^n)^{\langle a\rangle}_{\rho} = \prod_{r,(c)}\prod_{j=1}^{N_c}\mathcal{SP}^{m_{r,(c)}(j)}[M_j^{\langle c\rangle} \rtimes C_G(c)], \tag{6.1}$$

where $\mathcal{SP}$ denotes the symmetric product and $(m_{r,(c)}(1),\ldots,m_{r,(c)}(N_c))$ satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^{N_c} m_{r,(c)}(j) = m_r(c)$. Then the shift F_ρ corresponding to the connected component described in Equation 6.1 is given by

$$F_\rho = \sum_{r,c}\sum_{j=1}^{N_c} m_{r,(c)}(j)(F_j^c + d\frac{r-1}{2}).$$

□

References

1. A. Adem, J. Leida, and Y. Ruan. *Orbifolds and stringy topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 171, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
2. A. Adem and Y. Ruan, *Twisted orbifold K-theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. 237 (2003) 533–556.
3. M. Atiyah and G. Segal, *On equivariant Euler characteristics*, J. Geom. Phys. 6 (1989) 671–677.
4. P. Baum and A. Connes, *Chern character for discrete groups*, A fête of topology, 163–232, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
5. B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, second edition, Mathematical Sciences.
6. J. Bryan and J. Fulman, *Orbifold Euler characteristics and the number of commuting m-tuples in the symmetric groups*, Ann. Comb. 2 (1998) 1–6.
7. W. Chen and Y. Ruan, *Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory*, in: Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001), Contemp. Math., 310, 25–85, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
8. W. Chen and Y. Ruan, A new cohomology theory of orbifold, Commun. Math. Phys. 248 (2004) 1–31.
9. L. Dixon, J. Harvey, Vafa, and E. Witten, *Strings on orbifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B 261 (1985) 678–686.
10. C. Farsi, *K-theoretical index theorems for orbifolds*, Quat. J. Math. 43 (92), 183–200.
11. C. Farsi and C. Seaton, *Nonvanishing vector fields on orbifolds*, preprint. `arXiv:0807.2738v1 [math.DG]` (2008).
12. C. Farsi and C. Seaton, *Generalized twisted sectors of orbifolds*, in preparation.

13. F. Hirzebruch and T. Höefer, *On the Euler number of an orbifold*, Math. Ann 286 (1990) 255–260.
14. S. Illman, The equivariant triangulation theorem for actions of compact Lie groups, Math. Ann. 262 (1983), 487–501.
15. K. Kawakubo, The Theory of transformation groups. Translated from the 1987 Japanese edition. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
16. I. MacDonald, *The Poincaré polynomial of a symmetric product*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 58 1962 563–568.
17. I. Moerdijk, *Orbifolds as groupoids: an introduction*, in: Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001), Contemp. Math. 310, 205–222, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
18. I. Moerdijk and J. Mrčcun, *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 91, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, NY, 2003.
19. I. Moerdijk and D. Pronk, Simplicial cohomology of orbifolds. Indag. Math. (N.S.) 10 (1999), no. 2, 269–293.
20. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences vol. 24, Eds S. P. Novikov, D. B. and V. A. Rokhlin, Springer.
21. J. Rosenberg and C. Schochet, *The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov's generalized K-functor*, Duke Math. J. 55 (1987), 431–474.
22. S. S. Roan, *Minimal resolutions of Gorenstein orbifolds in dimension three*, Topology 35 (1996) 489–509.
23. Y. Ruan, *Stringy geometry and topology of orbifolds*, in: Symposium in Honor of C. H. Clemens (Salt Lake City, UT, 2000), Contemp. Math. 312, 187–233, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
24. I. Satake. *The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for V-manifolds*, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan **9** (1957), 464–492.
25. C. Seaton, Two Gauss-Bonnet and Poincaré-Hopf theorems for orbifolds with boundary, Differential Geom. Appl. 26 (2008) 42–51.
26. G. Segal and M. F. Atiyah, *On equivariant Euler characteristics*, J. Geom. Phys. 4 (1989) 671–677.
27. H. Tamanoi, *Generalized orbifold Euler characteristic of symmetric products and equivariant Morava K-theory*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology **1** (2001), 115-141.
28. H. Tamanoi, *Generalized orbifold Euler characteristic of symmetric orbifolds and covering spaces*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology **3** (2003), 791-856.
29. H. Tamanoi, Infinite product decomposition of orbifold mapping spaces, arXiv:0706.0932.
30. W. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, Lecture Notes, Princeton University Math Dept., Princeton, New Jersey, 1978.
31. J.-L. Tu and P. Xu, *Chern character for twisted K-theory of orbifolds*, Adv. Math. 207 (2006) 455–483.
32. A. Verona, *Triangulation of stratifed fibre bundles*, Manuscripta Math. 30 (1979/80), 425–445.
33. W. Wang, *Equivariant K-theory, wreath products, and Heisenberg algebra*, Duke Math. J. 103 (2000), 1–23.
34. W. Wang, *Equivariant K-theory, generalized symmetric products, and twisted Heisenberg algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. 234 (2003), 101–127.
35. W. Wang and J. Zhou, *Orbifold Hodge numbers of the wreath product orbifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. 38 (2001), 152–169.
36. C. Yang, The triangulability of the orbit space of a differentiable transformation group. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 1963 405–408.
37. E. Zaslow, *Topological orbifold models and quantum cohomology rings*, Comm. Math. Phys. 156 (1993), 301-331.
38. J. Zhou, *Delocalized equivariant coholomogy of symmetric products* v.1 , arXiv:math/9910028.

Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado at Boulder, Campus Box 395, Boulder, CO 80309-0395
E-mail address: `farsi@euclid.colorado.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rhodes College, 2000 N. Parkway, Memphis, TN 38112
E-mail address: `seatonc@rhodes.edu`